arXiv:1509.02608v2 [math.AP] 20 Jan 2017

GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR ACTIVE LIQUID CRYSTALS

GUI-QIANG CHEN, APALA MAJUMDAR, DEHUA WANG, AND RONGFANG ZHANG

Abstract. We study the hydrodynamics of active liquid crystals in the Beris-Edwards hydrodynamic framework with the Landau-de Gennes Q-tensor order parameter to describe liquid crystalline ordering. The existence of global weak solutions in two and three spatial dimensions is established. In the two-dimensional case, by the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, the higher regularity of the weak solutions and the weak-strong uniqueness are also obtained.

1. Introduction

Liquid crystals are classical examples of mesophases that are intermediate between solids and liquids (*cf.* [12]). They often combine physical properties of both liquids and solids, and in general liquid crystals can be divided into thermotropic, lyotropic, and metallotropic phases, according to their different optical properties. Nematic liquid crystals are one of the most common liquid crystalline phases; nematics are complex liquids with a certain degree of long-range orientational order. That is, the constituent molecules are typically rod-like or elongated, and these elongated molecules flow about freely as in a conventional liquid but, whilst flowing, they tend to align along certain distinguished directions (*cf.* [12, 58]).

There are several competing mathematical theories for nematic liquid crystals in the literature, such as the Doi-Onsager theory proposed by Doi [13] in 1986 and Onsager [44] in 1949, the Oseen-Frank theory proposed by Oseen [45] in 1933 and Frank [18] in 1958, the Ericksen-Leslie theory proposed by Ericksen [15] in 1961 and Leslie [32] in 1968, and the Landau-de Gennes theory proposed by Gennes [20] in 1995. The first one is a molecular kinetic theory, and the remaining three are continuum macroscopic theories. These theories can be derived or related to each other, under some assumptions. For instance, Kuzzu-Doi [30] and E-Zhang [14] formally derived the Ericksen-Leslie equation from the Doi-Onsager equations by taking small Deborah number limit. Wang-Zhang-Zhang [61] justified this formal derivation before the first singular time of the Ericksen-Leslie equations. Wang-Zhang-Zhang [62] presented a rigorous derivation of the Ericksen-Leslie equations from the Beris-Edwards model in the Landau-de Gennes framework. Ball-Majumdar [3] and Ball-Zarnescu [4] studied the differences and the overlap between the Oseen-Frank theory and the Landau-de Gennes theory. See [33, 36, 37] for further discussions.

Date: January 23, 2017.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 76D05, 76A15.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations, active liquid crystals, global well-posedness, weak solutions, strong solutions, regularity, weak-strong uniqueness.

Active hydrodynamics describe fluids with active constituent particles that have collective motion and are constantly maintained out of equilibrium by internal energy sources, rather than by the external forces applied to the system. In particular, when the particles have elongated shapes, usually the collective motion induces the particles to demonstrate orientational ordering at high concentration. Thus, there are natural analogies with nematic liquid crystals. Active hydrodynamics have wide applications and have attracted much attention in recent decades. For example, many biophysical systems are classified as active nematics, including microtubule bundles [53], cytoskeletal filaments [29], actin filaments [6], dense suspensions of microswimmers [63], bacteria [11], catalytic motors [48], and even nonliving analogues such as monolayers of vibrated granular rods [38]. For more information and discussions, see [5,13,26,27,49,51] and the references therein. Active nematic systems are distinguished from their well-studied passive counterparts since the constituent particles are active; that is, it is the energy consumed and dissipated by the active particles that drives the system out of equilibrium, rather than the external force applied at the boundary of the system, like a shear flow. Consequently, active dynamics are truly striking, and many novel effects have been observed in active systems, like the occurrence of giant density fluctuations [40,42,50], the spontaneous laminar flow [24,39,59], unconventional rheological properties [17,25,55], low Reynolds number turbulence [27,63], and very different spatial and temporal patterns compared to passive systems [8,21,40,41,52] arising from the interaction of the orientational order and the flow.

In this paper, we use the Landau-de Gennes Q-tensor description that is one of the most comprehensive descriptions, which describes the nematic state by a symmetric traceless 3×3 matrix, the Q-tensor order parameter with five independent degrees of freedom if the spatial dimension is three. A nematic phase is said to be (i) isotropic if $Q = 0$, (ii) uniaxial if Q has a pair of degenerate non-zero eigenvalues, and (iii) biaxial if Q has three distinct eigenvalues. In particular, a uniaxial phase has a single distinguished direction of nematic alignment, and a biaxial phase has a primary and secondary direction of preferred alignment. We remark that two-dimensional Q-tensors have been used to successfully model severely confined three-dimensional nematic systems that are effectively invariant in the third dimension.

In particular, we consider the following hydrodynamic equations that model spatio-temporal pattern formation in incompressible active nematic systems (see [22,26]):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q + (u \cdot \nabla) Q + Q\Omega - \Omega Q - \lambda |Q| D = \Gamma H, \\ (\partial_t + u_\beta \partial_\beta) u_\alpha + \partial_\alpha P - \mu \Delta u_\alpha = \partial_\beta \tau_{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\beta \sigma_{\alpha\beta}, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $d = 2$ or 3, is the flow velocity; P is the pressure; Q is the nematic tensor order parameter that is a traceless and symmetric $d \times d$ matrix; $\mu > 0$ denotes the viscosity coefficient; $\Gamma^{-1} > 0$ is the rotational viscosity; $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ stands for the nematic alignment parameter; $D = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u + \nabla u^\top)$ and $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u - \nabla u^\top)$ are the symmetric and antisymmetric part of the strain tensor with $(\nabla u)_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\beta u_\alpha$. Hereafter, we use the Einstein summation convention, *i.e.*, the repeated indices are summed over, and $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \ldots, d$. The time variable is $t \geq 0$, the space variable is $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)$, and $\partial_\beta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\beta}$. Moreover, the molecular tensor

$$H = K\Delta Q - \frac{k}{2}(c - c_*)Q + b\big(Q^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d\big) - cQ\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)$$

describes the relaxational dynamics of the nematic phase and can be obtained from the Landau-de Gennes free energy, *i.e.*, $H_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\delta F}{\delta Q_{\alpha\beta}}$, where

$$F = \int \Big(\frac{k}{4}(c - c^*)\mathrm{tr}(Q^2) - \frac{b}{3}\mathrm{tr}(Q^3) + \frac{c}{4}|\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)|^2 + \frac{K}{2}|\nabla Q|^2\Big)dA,$$

with K the elastic constant for the one-constant elastic energy density, c the concentration of active units and c^* the critical concentration for the isotropic-nematic transition, and $k > 0$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$ are material-dependent constants. We note that the analysis of this paper holds for all real b, but b is usually taken to be positive in the literature. In what follows, we set $K = k = 1$ for simplicity of notation. The stress tensor $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sigma^r_{\alpha\beta} + \sigma^a_{\alpha\beta},$$

with

$$\sigma^r_{\alpha\beta} = -\lambda|Q|H_{\alpha\beta} + Q_{\alpha\delta}H_{\delta\beta} - H_{\alpha\delta}Q_{\delta\beta}, \qquad \sigma^a_{\alpha\beta} = \sigma_* c^2 Q_{\alpha\beta},$$

where $\sigma^r_{\alpha\beta}$ is the elastic stress tensor due to the nematic elasticity, and $\sigma^a_{\alpha\beta}$ is the active contribution which describes contractile or extensile stresses exerted by the active particles in the direction of the director field ($\sigma_* > 0$ for the contractile case, and $\sigma_* < 0$ for the extensile case). The symmetric additional stress tensor is denoted by

$$\tau_{\alpha\beta} := -(\nabla Q \odot \nabla Q)_{\alpha\beta} = -\partial_\beta Q_{\gamma\delta}\partial_\alpha Q_{\gamma\delta}.$$

In the rest of this paper, we consider the case when $c > 0$ is a constant. We set

$$a = \frac{1}{2}(c - c_*), \quad \kappa = \sigma_* c^2.$$

Then system (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q + (u \cdot \nabla)Q + Q\Omega - \Omega Q - \lambda|Q|D = \Gamma H, \\ \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla P - \mu\Delta u = -\nabla \cdot (\nabla Q \odot \nabla Q) - \lambda\nabla \cdot (|Q|H) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + \nabla \cdot (Q\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q) + \kappa\nabla \cdot Q, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

with

$$H = \Delta Q - aQ + b\big(Q^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d\big) - cQ\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2),$$

the constants $c > 0$, $\Gamma > 0$, $\mu > 0$, $a, b, \lambda, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^+$.

Regarding the related mathematical contributions in the Q-tensor liquid crystal framework, Paicu-Zarnescu [46,47] proved the existence of global weak solutions to the coupled incompressible Navier-Stokes and Q-tensor system for $d = 2, 3$, as well as the existence of global regular solutions with sufficiently regular initial data for $d = 2$. Wilkinson [64] obtained the existence and regularity of weak solutions on the d–dimensional torus over a certain singular potential. In [16], Feireisl-Rocca-Schimperna-Zarnescu derived the global-in-time weak solutions in the Q-tensor framework, with arbitrary physically relevant initial data in case of a singular bulk potential proposed in Ball-Majumdar [3]. Wang-Xu-Yu [60] established the existence and long-time dynamics of globally defined weak solutions for the coupled compressible Navier-Stokes and Q-tensor system. See [7] and the references therein for more results and discussions.

We study the active system (1.2) to establish the existence of weak solutions in two and three spatial dimensions, along with the existence of regular solutions and the uniqueness of weak-strong solutions in the two-dimensional case, motivated by the work of Paicu-Zarnescu [46, 47] for the passive system. Since we are dealing with the active system, we need to conquer some new difficulties. Firstly, by using the general energy method, we obtain *a priori* estimates for the system (1.2), based on some crucial cancellations. Those cancellations turn out to be very important in the proof of the existence of weak solutions in $\mathbb{R}^d, d = 2, , 3$, higher regularity and the uniqueness of weak-strong solutions in $\mathbb{R}^2$. However, due to the appearance of the active term $\kappa Q_{\alpha\beta}$, we can only obtain an energy inequality, instead of the perfect Lyapunov functional for the smooth solutions of the system. Here we mention that the symmetry and traceless properties of the Q-tensor play a key role in the validity of the cancellations (see also Appendix A). Also the property of the Q-tensor (A.1) is very important in order to derive the H^1-estimate for the Q-tensor in Proposition 2.2, since the bulk potential in the Landau-de Gennes energy density (the terms independent of ∇Q) is not always positive. Additionally, in order to obtain the weak solutions, we need to add the extra terms $-\varepsilon\partial_\alpha Q_{\beta\gamma}(u\cdot\nabla Q_{\beta\gamma})|u\cdot\nabla Q|$ and $\varepsilon\nabla\cdot(\nabla u|\nabla u|^2)$ to the system to control some non-vanishing terms in the energy estimates for the approximate system (3.6), due to the nonlinearity of the terms: $J_n(R_\varepsilon u^n\nabla Q^{(n)})$ and $J_n(R_\varepsilon\Omega^n Q^{(n)} - Q^{(n)}R_\varepsilon\Omega^n)$ (see §3 for the notations). In §2–§3, the cancellations for these terms work very well. However, in §4, when we seek the regular solutions in $\mathbb{R}^2$, the cancellation for the terms, $\lambda|Q|D$ and $\lambda\nabla\cdot(|Q|H)$, does not hold perfectly as before. We use the Littlewood-Paley decomposition to reduce these terms to two new terms that can be controlled by the previous cancellation idea (see Appendix B for the details). We also need to pay more attention to the higher order terms of Q in the elastic stress tensor of the system.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In §2, we obtain the dissipation principle and *a priori* estimates. In §3, we establish the existence of weak solutions in $\mathbb{R}^d, d = 2, 3$. In §4, by using the Littlewood-Paley decomposition, we restrict ourselves to the two-dimensional case and achieve the higher regularity of the corresponding weak solution. In §5, we show the uniqueness of the weak and strong solution in $\mathbb{R}^2$, with suitable initial data. In Appendix A, we provide some important preliminary estimates that we use extensively in this paper. In Appendix B, we provide the detailed estimates for inequality (4.6).

2. The Dissipation Principle and A Priori Estimates

In this section, by using the energy method, we derive the dissipation principle in Proposition 2.1 and the *a priori* estimates in Proposition 2.2 for system (1.2).

For the sake of convenience, we first introduce some notations. We denote H^k, with $k \geq 1$ integer, as the Sobolev space that consists of all functions v in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $D^\nu v$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every multi-index $\nu = (\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_d)$, $0 \leq |\nu| \leq k$, where $D^\nu := \partial_1^{\nu_1}\cdots\partial_d^{\nu_d}$ is the distributional derivative. The space H^k is equipped with norm $\|\cdot\|_{H^k}$ defined by

$$\|v\|_{H^k}^2 := \sum_{0\leq|\nu|\leq k} \|D^\nu v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

The space H^{-k}, with $k \geq 1$ integer, is defined as the dual spaces of H_0^k, equipped with the norm:

$$\|v\|_{H^{-k}} := \sup_{\varphi \in H_0^k, \|\varphi\|_{H_0^k} \leq 1} |(v, \varphi)|,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the inner product in L^2. For example, if a and b are vector functions, then

$$(a, b) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} a(x) \cdot b(x)\, dx,$$

and if A and B are matrices, then

$$(A, B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} A : B\, dx$$

with $A : B = \operatorname{tr}(AB)$. We denote by $S_0^d \subset \mathbb{M}^{d\times d}$ the space of symmetric traceless Q-tensors in d-dimension, that is,

$$S_0^d := \left\{ Q \in \mathbb{M}^{d\times d} : \ Q_{\alpha\beta} = Q_{\beta\alpha}, \ \operatorname{tr}(Q) = 0, \ \alpha, \beta = 1, \cdots, d \right\}.$$

We define the norm of a matrix by using the Frobenius norm denoted by

$$|Q| := \sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(Q^2)} = \sqrt{Q_{\alpha\beta} Q_{\alpha\beta}}.$$

With respect to this norm, we can define the Sobolev spaces for the Q-tensors, for example,

$$H^1(\mathbb{R}^d, S_0^d) := \left\{ Q : \mathbb{R}^d \to S_0^d \ : \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(|Q(x)|^2 + |\nabla Q(x)|^2 \right) dx < \infty \right\}.$$

We also denote $|\nabla Q|^2 := \partial_\delta Q_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\delta Q_{\alpha\beta}$ and $|\Delta Q|^2 := \Delta Q_{\alpha\beta} \Delta Q_{\alpha\beta}$.

Let us denote the Landau-de Gennes free energy for the nematic liquid crystals (*cf.* [20]) by

$$\mathbf{F}(Q) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla Q|^2 + \frac{a}{2}|Q|^2 - \frac{b}{3}\operatorname{tr}(Q^3) + \frac{c}{4}|Q|^4 \right) dx. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, by adding the kinetic energy to $\mathbf{F}(Q)$, we denote the energy of system (1.2) by

$$E(t) := \mathbf{F}(Q) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |u|^2 dx. \tag{2.2}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let* (Q, u) *be a smooth solution of system* (1.2) *such that*

$$Q \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \tag{2.4}$$

for $d = 2, 3$. *Then, for any given* $T > 0$, *we have*

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \frac{\mu}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \Gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{tr}(H^2) dx \leq C(\kappa, \mu) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Q|^2 dx \quad \text{for any } t \in (0, T). \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We take the summation of the first equation in (1.2) multiplied by $-H$ and the second equation in (1.2) multiplied by u, take the trace, and then integrate by parts over $\mathbb{R}^d$ to find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla Q|^2 + \frac{a}{2}|Q|^2 - \frac{b}{3}\operatorname{tr}(Q^3) + \frac{c}{4}|Q|^4 + \frac{1}{2}|u|^2\big) dx + \mu\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \Gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \operatorname{tr}(H^2) dx$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (u\cdot\nabla Q, \Delta Q) - (u\cdot\nabla Q, aQ - b(Q^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d) + cQ|Q|^2) - (\Omega Q - Q\Omega, \Delta Q)\\
&\quad + (\Omega Q - Q\Omega, aQ - b(Q^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d) + cQ|Q|^2) - \lambda(|Q|D, H)\\
&\quad - (\nabla\cdot(\nabla Q\odot\nabla Q), u) + \lambda(|Q|H, \nabla u) + (\nabla\cdot(Q\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q), u) - \kappa(Q, \nabla u)\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{8}\mathcal{I}_i - \kappa(Q,\nabla u)\\
&\le \frac{\mu}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\kappa,\mu)\|Q\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in the last inequality, besides Cauchy's inequality, we have used that $\mathcal{I}_2 = 0$ (since $\nabla\cdot u = 0$), $\mathcal{I}_3 + \mathcal{I}_8 = 0$ (by Lemma A.1), $\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_6 = 0$, $\mathcal{I}_4 = 0$, and $\mathcal{I}_5 + \mathcal{I}_7 = 0$, as shown below:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_6 &= (u\cdot\nabla Q, \Delta Q) - (\nabla\cdot(\nabla Q\odot\nabla Q), u)\\
&= \int u_\alpha\partial_\alpha Q_{\delta\gamma}\Delta Q_{\delta\gamma}dx - \int \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta Q_{\delta\gamma}\partial_\beta Q_{\delta\gamma}u_\alpha dx - \int \partial_\alpha Q_{\delta\gamma}\partial_\beta\partial_\beta Q_{\delta\gamma}u_\alpha dx\\
&= -\int \partial_\alpha\partial_\beta Q_{\delta\gamma}\partial_\beta Q_{\delta\gamma}u_\alpha dx\\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and, by the fact that Q is symmetric and Ω is skew symmetric,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_4 &= (\Omega Q - Q\Omega, aQ - b\big(Q^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d\big) + cQ|Q|^2)\\
&= -(\Omega Q + Q\Omega, aQ - b\big(Q^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d\big) + cQ|Q|^2)\\
&\quad + 2(\Omega Q, aQ - b\big(Q^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d\big) + cQ|Q|^2)\\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_5 + \mathcal{I}_7 &= \lambda(|Q|H, \nabla u) - \lambda(|Q|D, H) = \lambda(|Q|H, \nabla u) - \lambda(|Q|H, D)\\
&= \lambda(|Q|H, \nabla u - D) = \lambda(|Q|H, \Omega) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Remark 2.1. For the passive system considered in [46], a perfect Lyapunov functional is available. However, for the active system as analyzed here, only an energy inequality (2.5) is obtained above, which is not a Lyapunov functional in general.

Based on Proposition 2.1 and Gronwall's inequality (Lemma A.4), we have the following *a priori* estimates.

Proposition 2.2. *Let (Q, u) be a smooth solution of system (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^d, d = 2, 3$, with smooth initial data $(\bar{Q}(x), \bar{u}(x))$. If $(\bar{Q}, \bar{u}) \in H^1\times L^2$, then, for any $t > 0$,*

$$
\|Q(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1} \le C_1 e^{C_2 t}\big(\|\bar{Q}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2\big), \tag{2.6}
$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\mu}{4}\int_0^t\|\nabla u(s,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2ds\le C_3\big(\|\bar{Q}\|_{H^1}^2+\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2}^2\big)e^{C_2t}+C_4, \tag{2.7}$$

where constants $C_i, 1\le i\le 4$, *depend on* $(a,b,c,\kappa,\mu,\lambda,\Gamma,\bar{Q},\bar{u})$.

Proof. From the energy estimate in Proposition 2.1 and the symmetric property of Q, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}E(t)+\frac{\mu}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\Gamma\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2+a^2\Gamma\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+c^2\Gamma\|Q\|_{L^6}^6\\ &\quad+b^2\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{tr}((Q^2-\frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d)^2)dx\\ &\le C(\kappa,\mu)\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+2a\Gamma(\Delta Q,Q)-2ac\Gamma\|Q\|_{L^4}^4-2b\Gamma(\Delta Q,Q^2)\\ &\quad+2bc\Gamma(Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2),Q^2)+2ab\Gamma(Q,Q^2)+2c\Gamma(\Delta Q,Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2))\\ &=C(\kappa,\mu)\|Q\|_{L^2}^2-2a\Gamma\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2-2ac\Gamma\|Q\|_{L^4}^4+\sum_{i=1}^4\mathcal{I}_i.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

We now derive the estimates for $\mathcal{I}_i$, $1\le i\le 4$. First, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1=-2b\Gamma(\Delta Q,Q^2)\le\frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2+C(b^2,\Gamma)\|Q\|_{L^4}^4. \tag{2.9}$$

From (A.1), we have the following estimates for $\mathcal{I}_2$ and $\mathcal{I}_3$ by choosing an appropriate $\varepsilon>0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_2&=2bc\Gamma(Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2),Q^2)=2bc\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{tr}(Q^3)|Q|^2dx\\ &\le 2|b|c\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}|Q|^4+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}|Q|^2\big)|Q|^2dx\\ &=\frac{c^2\Gamma}{2}\|Q\|_{L^6}^6+C(b^2,\Gamma)\|Q\|_{L^4}^4,\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_3=2ab\Gamma(Q,Q^2)=2ab\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{tr}(Q^3)dx\le C(a,b,\Gamma)\big(\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^4}^4\big). \tag{2.11}$$

Moreover, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_4&=2c\Gamma(\Delta Q,Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2))=2c\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_{\gamma\gamma}Q_{\alpha\beta}Q_{\alpha\beta}\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)dx\\ &=-2c\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_\gamma Q_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\gamma Q_{\alpha\beta}\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)dx-2c\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_\gamma Q_{\alpha\beta}Q_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\gamma\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)dx\\ &=-2c\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla Q|^2|Q|^2dx-c\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\nabla\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)|^2dx\le 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Combining (2.8) with (2.9)–(2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}E(t)+\frac{\mu}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{2}\|Q\|_{L^6}^6+b^2\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{tr}((Q^2-\frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d)^2)dx\\ &\le C(a^2,b^2,c,\kappa,\mu,\Gamma)\big(\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^4}^4\big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Here we should clarify that, since the potential terms, *i.e.*, the Q–terms without derivatives in $E(t)$ do not always sum to a positive quantity, (2.13) does not always yield the desired estimates for Q directly. However, we can deal with this issue as follows.

Case I: $a>0$ and sufficiently large. By the property of Q in (A.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{a}{2}|Q|^2-\frac{b}{3}\mathrm{tr}(Q^3)+\frac{c}{4}|Q|^4 &\geq \frac{a}{2}|Q|^2-\frac{b}{3}\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}|\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)|^2+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)\right)+\frac{c}{4}|Q|^4\\ &=\left(\frac{a}{2}-\frac{b}{3\varepsilon}\right)|Q|^2+\left(\frac{c}{4}-\frac{b}{12}\varepsilon\right)|Q|^4.\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

When $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small and $a>0$ is sufficiently large, both $\frac{a}{2}-\frac{b}{3\varepsilon}$ and $\frac{c}{4}-\frac{b}{12}\varepsilon$ can be positive. As a result, we can obtain the H^1–estimates of Q directly from (2.13) by Gronwall's inequality (Lemma A.4).

Case II: For all other a. In this case, the sum of the Q–terms without derivatives in $E(t)$ may be negative. Thus, we have to deal with the L^2–estimates of Q separately to obtain the H^1–estimates for Q. In fact, we multiply the first equation in (1.2) by Q, take the trace, and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^d$ by parts to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 =&-\Gamma\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2-a\Gamma\|Q\|_{L^2}^2-c\Gamma\|Q\|_{L^4}^4+b\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\mathrm{tr}(Q^3)dx+\lambda(|Q|D,Q)\\ \leq&-\Gamma\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2-a\Gamma\|Q\|_{L^2}^2-c\Gamma\|Q\|_{L^4}^4+C(a^2,b^2,\Gamma)\big(\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^4}^4\big)\\ &+\varepsilon|\lambda|\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+C(\varepsilon)|\lambda|\|Q\|_{L^4}^4\\ \leq&-\Gamma\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2+\varepsilon|\lambda|\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\big(\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^4}^4\big),\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ will be decided later, and $\bar{C}=\bar{C}(a,b,c,\lambda,\Gamma,\varepsilon)$. Motivated by Case I, we notice that, for any $Q\in S_0^d$, there exists a positive, sufficiently large constant $M=M(a,b,c)$ such that

$$0\leq\frac{M}{2}|Q|^2+\frac{c}{8}|Q|^4\leq(M+\frac{a}{2})|Q|^2-\frac{b}{3}\mathrm{tr}(Q^3)+\frac{c}{4}|Q|^4.\tag{2.16}$$

Multiplying (2.15) by $2M$, adding it to (2.13), and letting $\varepsilon=\frac{\mu}{8|\lambda|M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\big(E(t)+M\|Q\|_{L^2}^2\big)+\frac{\mu}{4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{2}\|Q\|_{L^6}^6\\ &\leq C\big(\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^4}^4\big),\end{aligned}\tag{2.17}$$

where $C=C(a,b,c,\kappa,\mu,\lambda,\Gamma,M)$.

Then the desired estimates (2.6)–(2.7) for (Q,u) follow from Gronwall's inequality (Lemma A.4). □

3. Weak Solutions

In this section, we prove the existence of weak solutions for system (1.2) with suitable initial data for $d=2,3$.

Definition 3.1. (Q, u) is called a weak solution of system (1.2) with the initial data:

$$Q(0,x) = \bar{Q}(x) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad u(0,x) = \bar{u}(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d), \qquad \nabla \cdot \bar{u}(x) = 0 \ \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d), \tag{3.1}$$

if (Q, u) satisfies the following:

(i) $Q \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2)$ and $u \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1)$;

(ii) For every compactly supported $\varphi \in C^\infty([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d; S^d_0)$ and $\psi \in C^\infty([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\nabla \cdot \psi = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(Q : \partial_t \varphi + \Gamma \Delta Q : \varphi + Q : (u \cdot \nabla_x \varphi) - (Q\Omega - \Omega Q - \lambda |Q| D) : \varphi\big) dxdt \\ &= \Gamma \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(aQ - b\big(Q^2 - \frac{\operatorname{tr}(Q^2)}{d} \mathrm{I}_d\big) + cQ \operatorname{tr}(Q^2)\Big) : \varphi \, dxdt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{Q}(x) : \varphi(0,x) \, dx,\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \big(-u \cdot \partial_t \psi - u \cdot (u \cdot \nabla_x \psi) + \mu \nabla u : \nabla \psi^\top\big) dxdt - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \bar{u}(x) \cdot \psi(0,x) \, dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Big(\nabla Q \odot \nabla Q + \lambda |Q| H - (Q\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q) - \kappa Q\Big) : \nabla \psi \, dxdt.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a weak solution* (Q, u) *of system* (1.2) *subject to the initial conditions* (3.1)*, for* $d = 2, 3$*, satisfying*

$$Q \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^2), \quad u \in L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2) \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^1). \tag{3.4}$$

Before proving Theorem 3.1, we introduce some useful notations:

(i) R_ε is the convolution operator with kernel $\varepsilon^{-d}\chi(\varepsilon^{-1}\cdot)$, where $\chi \in C^\infty_0$ is a radial positive function such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi(y) \mathrm{d}y = 1.$$

(ii) The mollifying operator J_n, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(J_n f)(\xi) := 1_{[2^{-n}, 2^n]}(|\xi|) \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform.

(iii) $\mathcal{P}$ is the Leray projector onto divergence-free vector fields, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{P} : L^2(\Omega) \to H = \Big\{\mathbf{w} \in (L^2(\Omega))^d : \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0\Big\},$$

which can be explicitly described in the Fourier domain by the tensor as

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{w}))(\xi) = \Big(\mathrm{I}_d - \frac{\xi \otimes \xi}{|\xi|^2}\Big) \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{w})(\xi).$$

Next we prove Theorem 3.1 in the following three subsections. In §3.1, we construct regularized approximate solutions $(Q^{(n)}_\varepsilon, u^n_\varepsilon)$ to the approximation system (3.5). In §3.2, similarly to Proposition 2.2, we obtain some *a priori* bounds in (3.7), which allow us to obtain the convergence result (3.10) for $(Q^{(n)}_\varepsilon, u^n_\varepsilon)$ by the Aubin-Lions compactness lemma. Moreover, we can pass to the limit as n goes to infinity to achieve the weak solution $(Q_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$

of the modified system (3.11). In §3.3, by studying the $\varepsilon \to 0$ limit of the modified system (3.11), we obtain the weak solution to system (1.2). However, we cannot use the previous *a priori* bounds in (3.7), since those bounds are not uniform with respect to ε. Instead, we need to repeat a similar procedure in order to obtain the uniform bounds in (3.14)–(3.15).

3.1. **Regularized Approximation System.** Let us consider the following approximation system for the active hydrodynamic system (1.2), followed by the classical Friedrichs' scheme, for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n > 0$ (from now on, solution $(Q^{(n)}_\varepsilon, u^n_\varepsilon)$ is denoted by $(Q^{(n)}, u^n)$ for simplicity of notation when no confusion arises):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q^{(n)} + J_n((\mathcal{P}J_nR_\varepsilon u^n \cdot \nabla)J_nQ^{(n)}) - J_n(\mathcal{P}J_n(R_\varepsilon\Omega^n)J_nQ^{(n)}) \\ \qquad\qquad +J_n(J_nQ^{(n)}\mathcal{P}J_n(R_\varepsilon\Omega^n)) - \lambda J_n(|J_nQ^{(n)}|\mathcal{P}J_n(R_\varepsilon D^n)) = \Gamma J_nH^{(n)}, \\ \partial_t u^n + \mathcal{P}J_n((\mathcal{P}J_nR_\varepsilon u^n \cdot \nabla)\mathcal{P}J_nu^n) - \mu\Delta\mathcal{P}J_nu^n \\ \qquad\quad = -\varepsilon\mathcal{P}J_nR_\varepsilon(\partial_\alpha J_nQ^{(n)}_{\beta\gamma}(R_\varepsilon J_nu^n \cdot \nabla J_nQ^{(n)}_{\beta\gamma})|R_\varepsilon J_nu^n \cdot \nabla J_nQ^{(n)}|) \\ \qquad\qquad +\varepsilon\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon(\nabla J_nR_\varepsilon u^n|\nabla J_nR_\varepsilon u^n|^2) \\ \qquad\qquad -\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon(\nabla J_nQ^{(n)} \odot \nabla J_nQ^{(n)}) - \lambda\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon(|J_nQ^{(n)}|J_nH^{(n)}) \\ \qquad\qquad +\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon(J_nQ^{(n)}\Delta J_nQ^{(n)} - \Delta J_nQ^{(n)}J_nQ^{(n)}) + \kappa\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon Q^{(n)}, \\ (Q^{(n)}, u^n)|_{t=0} = (J_nR_\varepsilon\bar{Q}, J_nR_\varepsilon\bar{u}), \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$H^{(n)} = \Delta Q^{(n)} - aQ^{(n)} + b\big((J_nQ^{(n)})^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}((J_nQ^{(n)})^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d\big) - cJ_nQ^{(n)}\mathrm{tr}((J_nQ^{(n)})^2).$$

This approximate system can be regarded as a system of ordinary differential equations in L^2. By checking the conditions of the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem [57], we know that it admits a unique maximal solution $(Q^{(n)}, u^n) \in C^1([0, T_n); L^2(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^{d\times d}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d))$ on some time interval $[0, T_n)$. By simple calculation, $(\mathcal{P}J_n)^2 = \mathcal{P}J_n$ and $J_n^2 = J_n$, so that pair $(J_nQ^{(n)}, \mathcal{P}J_nu^n)$ is also a solution of (3.5). By uniqueness, $(J_nQ^{(n)}, \mathcal{P}J_nu^n) = (Q^{(n)}, u^n)$. Therefore, $(Q^{(n)}, u^n)$ also satisfies the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t Q^{(n)} + J_n\big(R_\varepsilon u^n\nabla Q^{(n)}\big) - J_n(R_\varepsilon\Omega^nQ^{(n)} - Q^{(n)}R_\varepsilon\Omega^n) - \lambda J_n(|Q^{(n)}|R_\varepsilon D^n) = \Gamma J_n\bar{H}^{(n)}, \\ \partial_t u^n + \mathcal{P}J_n(R_\varepsilon u^n\nabla u^n) - \mu\Delta u^n \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad = -\varepsilon\mathcal{P}J_nR_\varepsilon\big(\partial_\alpha Q^{(n)}_{\beta\gamma}(R_\varepsilon u^n \cdot \nabla Q^{(n)}_{\beta\gamma})|R_\varepsilon u^n \cdot \nabla Q^{(n)}|\big) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\quad +\varepsilon\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon(\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n|\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n|^2) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\quad -\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon(\nabla Q^{(n)} \odot \nabla Q^{(n)}) - \lambda\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon(|Q^{(n)}|J_n\bar{H}^{(n)}) \\ \qquad\qquad\qquad\quad +\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot J_nR_\varepsilon\big(Q^{(n)}\Delta Q^{(n)} - \Delta Q^{(n)}Q^{(n)}\big) + \kappa\mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot (J_nR_\varepsilon Q^{(n)}), \\ (Q^{(n)}, u^n)|_{t=0} = (J_nR_\varepsilon\bar{Q}, J_nR_\varepsilon\bar{u}), \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

where $(Q^{(n)}, u^n) \in C^1([0, T_n); \cap_{k=1}^\infty H^k)$ and

$$\bar{H}^n = \Delta Q^{(n)} - aQ^{(n)} + b\big((Q^{(n)})^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}((Q^{(n)})^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d\big) - cQ^{(n)}\mathrm{tr}((Q^{(n)})^2).$$

Remark 3.1. It is easy to see that, if $Q^{(n)}$ is a solution to system (3.5), so is $(Q^{(n)})^\top$. Hence, $Q^{(n)} = (Q^{(n)})^\top$ *a.e.*, in $[0, T_n] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, by the uniqueness of the solution. Moreover, from now on, we will work with the solution of the system (3.6) with this symmetry property, instead of the solution to the system (3.5).

3.2. **Compactness and Convergence as $n \to \infty$ for System (3.6).**

First, we need to derive some *a priori* estimates for the system (3.6) in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *The solution $(Q^{(n)}, u^n)$ of the system* (3.6) *satisfies the following estimates, which are independent of n, for any $T < \infty$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_n \|R_\varepsilon u^n \cdot \nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^3(0,T;L^3)} + \sup_n \|\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)} \le C,\\
&\sup_n \|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2)\cap L^\infty(0,T;H^1\cap L^4)} + \sup_n \|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2)} \le C,\\
&\sup_n \|u^n\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)\cap L^2(0,T;H^1)} \le C,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

provided the initial data $(\bar{Q}, \bar{u}) \in H^1 \times L^2$. Moreover, if $\bar{Q} \in S_0^d$, then $Q^{(n)} \in S_0^d$.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.1, we sum up the first equation in (3.6) multiplied by $-(\Delta Q^{(n)} - aQ^{(n)} + b(Q^{(n)})^2 - cQ^{(n)}\mathrm{tr}((Q^{(n)})^2))$ and the second equation multiplied by u^n, take the trace, and integrate by parts over $\mathbb{R}^d$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla Q^{(n)}|^2 + \frac{a}{2}|Q^{(n)}|^2 - \frac{b}{3}\mathrm{tr}((Q^{(n)})^3) + \frac{c}{4}|Q^{(n)}|^4 + \frac{1}{2}|u^n|^2\right) dx\\
&\quad + \mu\|\nabla u^n\|_{L^2}^2 + \Gamma\|\Delta Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2 + a^2\Gamma\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2 + 2ac\Gamma\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4\\
&\quad + b^2\Gamma\|J_n(Q^{(n)})^2\|_{L^2}^2 + c^2\Gamma\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2a\Gamma\|\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + \varepsilon\|R_\varepsilon u^n \cdot \nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^3}^3 + \varepsilon\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n\|_{L^4}^4\\
&= (R_\varepsilon u^n \nabla Q^{(n)}, \Delta Q^{(n)}) + (J_n(R_\varepsilon u^n \nabla Q^{(n)}), bJ_n(Q^{(n)})^2 - cJ_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2))\\
&\quad - (R_\varepsilon \Omega^n Q^{(n)} - Q^{(n)} R_\varepsilon \Omega^n, \Delta Q^{(n)}) + 2c\Gamma(\Delta Q^{(n)}, Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\\
&\quad + (J_n(R_\varepsilon \Omega^n Q^{(n)} - Q^{(n)} R_\varepsilon \Omega^n), -bJ_n(Q^{(n)})^2 + cJ_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2))\\
&\quad - \lambda(|Q^{(n)}|R_\varepsilon D^n, J_n(\Delta Q^{(n)} - aQ^{(n)} + b(Q^{(n)})^2 - cQ^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2))\\
&\quad + 2\Gamma(-b\Delta Q^{(n)} + abQ^{(n)} + bcJ_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2), J_n(Q^{(n)})^2)\\
&\quad - (\nabla \cdot (\nabla Q^{(n)} \odot \nabla Q^{(n)}), R_\varepsilon u^n) + \lambda(|Q^{(n)}|J_n \bar{H}^{(n)}, \nabla R_\varepsilon u^n)\\
&\quad + (\nabla \cdot (Q^{(n)}\Delta Q^{(n)} - \Delta Q^{(n)} Q^{(n)}), R_\varepsilon u^n) - \kappa(Q^{(n)}, \nabla R_\varepsilon u^n)\\
&\quad + \frac{b\Gamma}{d}(\mathrm{tr}((Q^{(n)})^2)\mathrm{I}_d, J_n(\Delta Q^{(n)} - aQ^{(n)} + b(Q^{(n)})^2 - cQ^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2))\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{12} \mathcal{I}_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

By using cancellations analogous to §2, we have

$$\mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_8 = 0, \quad \mathcal{I}_3 + \mathcal{I}_{10} = 0, \quad \mathcal{I}_6 + \mathcal{I}_9 = 0, \quad \mathcal{I}_4 \le 0.$$

The remaining terms can be estimated as follows:

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \big(J_n(R_\varepsilon u^n \nabla Q^{(n)}), bJ_n(Q^{(n)})^2 - cJ_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\big)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq \big(\frac{2}{\Gamma}+\frac{1}{4C(b^2)}\big)\|R_\varepsilon u^n\cdot\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{8}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2+C(b^2)\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4\\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|R_\varepsilon u^n\cdot\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^3}^3+C(b^2,\varepsilon,\Gamma)\|R_\varepsilon u^n\cdot\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^1}\\
&\quad+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{8}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2+C(b^2)\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4\\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|R_\varepsilon u^n\cdot\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^3}^3+C(b^2,\varepsilon,\Gamma)\left(\|u^n\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{8}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2+C(b^2)\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_5&=\big(J_n(R_\varepsilon\Omega^nQ^{(n)}-Q^{(n)}R_\varepsilon\Omega^n),-bJ_n(Q^{(n)})^2+cJ_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\big)\\
&\leq\big(\frac{4}{\Gamma}+\frac{1}{2C(b^2)}\big)\|R_\varepsilon\Omega^nQ^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\Gamma c^2}{8}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2+C(b^2)\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4\\
&\leq\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n\|_{L^4}^4+C(b^2,\Gamma,\varepsilon)\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4+\frac{\Gamma c^2}{8}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_7&=2\Gamma\big(-b\Delta Q^{(n)}+abQ^{(n)}+bcJ_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2),J_n(Q^{(n)})^2\big)\\
&\leq\frac{\Gamma}{4}\|\Delta Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{8}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2+C(a^2,b^2,\Gamma)\big(\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4\big),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{11}&=-\kappa(Q^{(n)},\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n)\leq\frac{\mu}{4}\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n\|_{L^2}^2+C(\kappa^2,\mu)\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\leq\frac{\mu}{4}\|\nabla u^n\|_{L^2}^2+C(\kappa^2,\mu)\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2,\\
\mathcal{I}_{12}&=\frac{b\Gamma}{d}(\mathrm{tr}((Q^{(n)})^2)\mathrm{I}_d,J_n(\Delta Q^{(n)}-aQ^{(n)}+b(Q^{(n)})^2-cQ^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2))\\
&\leq\frac{\Gamma}{4}\|\Delta Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{8}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2+C\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2+C\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4.
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting all the above estimates into (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}E^n(t)+\frac{3\mu}{4}\|\nabla u^n\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\Delta Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{2}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|R_\varepsilon u^n\cdot\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^3}^3+\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n\|_{L^4}^4\\
&\leq C\left(\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4+\|u^n\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2\right),
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.9}
$$

where C depends on a,b,c,κ,Γ,μ, and ε, and

$$
E^n(t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\left(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla Q^{(n)}|^2+\frac{a}{2}|Q^{(n)}|^2-\frac{b}{3}\mathrm{tr}((Q^{(n)})^3)+\frac{c}{4}|Q^{(n)}|^4+\frac{1}{2}|u^n|^2\right)dx.
$$

Again, by the same reasoning as in Proposition 2.2, $E^n(t)$ may be negative. We also need to estimate the L^2–norm of the Q-tensor separately in order to obtain the desired H^1–estimates for Q. Multiplying the first equation in system (3.6) by $Q^{(n)}$, taking the trace, integrating over $\mathbb{R}^d$ by parts, multiplying the result by $2M$ ($M > 0$ is sufficiently large), and adding it to (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left(E^n(t) + M\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2\right) + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\nabla u^n\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\Delta Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{c^2\Gamma}{2}\|J_n(Q^{(n)}|Q^{(n)}|^2)\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|R_\varepsilon u^n \cdot \nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^3}^3 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u^n\|_{L^4}^4 \\
&\quad \le C\left(\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^4}^4 + \|u^n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla Q^{(n)}\|_{L^2}^2\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(a, b, c, \kappa, \lambda, \Gamma, \varepsilon, \mu, M)$ is a constant, independent of n.

From the above estimate, along with Gronwall's inequality (Lemma A.4), we can conclude the *a priori* bounds in (3.7), which are independent of n, for any $T < \infty$.

In order to prove that $Q^{(n)} \in S_0^d$, besides the symmetry property mentioned in Remark 3.1, it remains to show $\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)}) = 0$. We take the trace on both sides of the first equation in system (3.6) and use that $Q^{(n)} = (Q^{(n)})^\top$, $(\Omega^n)^\top = -\Omega^n$, and $\mathrm{tr}(D^n) = \mathrm{div}(u^n) = 0$ to obtain the following initial value problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)}) + J_n\big(R_\varepsilon u^n \cdot \nabla \mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\big) = \Gamma J_n(\Delta \mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)}) - a\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)}) - c\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\mathrm{tr}((Q^{(n)})^2)), \\
&\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})|_{t=0} = J_n R_\varepsilon \mathrm{tr}(\bar{Q}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above equation by $\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})$, integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^d$, and using $J_n Q^{(n)} = Q^{(n)}$ and the uniform bounds of $Q^{(n)}$ in (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2 + \Gamma\|\nabla \mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2 = -a\Gamma\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2 - c\Gamma\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})|^2|Q^{(n)}|^2 dx \\
&\le -a\Gamma\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|Q^{(n)}\|_{L^6}^2\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^6}\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2} \\
&\le -a\Gamma\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|Q^{(n)}\|_{H^1}^2\|\nabla \mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^{\frac{d}{3}}\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^{2-\frac{d}{3}} \\
&\le \frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\nabla \mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

thus,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\|\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)})\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where we have used the Sobolev imbedding, the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality in Lemma A.3 and the Cauchy inequality. Hence, we conclude that $\mathrm{tr}(Q^{(n)}) = 0$ by the initial condition. □

Then we can conclude from the uniform estimates in (3.7) that $T_n = \infty$. In addition, by using system (3.6) and the above estimates, we can compute the bounds for $\partial_t(Q^{(n)}, u^n)$ in some $L^1(0, T; H^{-N})$ for large enough N. Then, by the classical Aubin-Lions compactness lemma (Lemma A.2), we conclude that, subject to a subsequence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q^{(n)} \rightharpoonup Q \quad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^2), \qquad Q^{(n)} \to Q \quad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^{2-\delta}_{\mathrm{loc}}) \ \text{ for any } \delta \in (0, 2+N),\\
&Q^{(n)}(t) \rightharpoonup Q(t) \qquad \text{in } H^1 \ \text{ for any } t \in \mathbb{R}_+,\\
&Q^{(n)} \rightharpoonup Q \text{ in } L^p(0,T;H^1),\ Q^{(n)} \to Q \text{ in } L^p(0,T;H^{1-\delta}_{\mathrm{loc}}) \ \text{ for any } \delta \in (0,1+N),\ p \in [2,\infty],\\
&u^n \rightharpoonup u \quad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^1), \qquad u^n \to u \quad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^{1-\delta}_{\mathrm{loc}}) \ \text{ for any } \delta \in (0,1+N),\\
&u^n(t) \rightharpoonup u(t) \quad \text{in } L^2 \quad \text{for any } \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

As a result, we can pass to the limit as n goes to infinity to obtain a weak solution $(Q_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ of the following modified system (for simplicity, we denote $(Q_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ by (Q,u) when no confusion arises):

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t Q + R_\varepsilon u \cdot \nabla Q + Q R_\varepsilon \Omega - R_\varepsilon \Omega Q - \lambda |Q| R_\varepsilon D = \Gamma H,\\
\partial_t u + \mathcal{P}(R_\varepsilon u \cdot \nabla u) - \mu \Delta u\\
\quad = -\varepsilon \mathcal{P} R_\varepsilon(\partial_\alpha Q_{\beta\gamma}(R_\varepsilon u \cdot \nabla Q_{\beta\gamma})|R_\varepsilon u \cdot \nabla Q|) + \varepsilon \mathcal{P} \nabla \cdot R_\varepsilon(\nabla R_\varepsilon u |\nabla R_\varepsilon u|^2)\\
\qquad - \mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot R_\varepsilon(\nabla Q \odot \nabla Q) - \lambda \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P} R_\varepsilon(|Q|H) + \mathcal{P}\nabla \cdot R_\varepsilon(Q\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q) + \kappa \mathcal{P} \nabla \cdot R_\varepsilon Q,\\
(Q,u)|_{t=0} = (R_\varepsilon \bar{Q}, R_\varepsilon \bar{u}),
\end{cases} \tag{3.11}$$

such that

$$Q_\varepsilon \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1) \cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^2), \quad u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2) \cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1). \tag{3.12}$$

Remark 3.2. This modified system is obtained by mollifying the coefficients of the Q-tensor equation and the forcing terms of the velocity equation, and by adding the extra terms given by $-\varepsilon \partial_\alpha Q_{\beta\gamma}(u \cdot \nabla Q_{\beta\gamma})|u \cdot \nabla Q|$ and $\varepsilon \nabla \cdot (\nabla u |\nabla u|^2)$ to the velocity equation. These two terms are needed to estimate some *bad* terms which do not disappear in the energy estimates. Moreover, we would like to mention that the above procedure for obtaining the solution to system (3.11) follows from the classical Friedrichs' scheme. We also point out that the solutions to (3.11) are smooth, because we can bootstrap the regularity improvement provided by the linear heat equation to obtain the smooth regularity of Q, and bootstrap the regularity improvement provided by the linear advection equation to obtain the smooth regularity of u.

3.3. Compactness and Convergence as $\varepsilon \to 0$ for System (3.11).

In this subsection, we show that, by passing to the $\varepsilon \to 0$ limit in system (3.11), we can obtain a weak solution of the system (1.2). In order to do so, we need to achieve some uniform bounds for solution $(Q_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$. Although, as a limit of $(Q^{(n)}_\varepsilon, u^n_\varepsilon)$, $(Q_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ still satisfies the *a priori* bounds in (3.7), we cannot apply these bounds in this step because they are not uniform with respect to ε. Therefore, we need to find new *a priori* bounds for the system (3.11). For simplicity of notation, we denote $(Q_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$ by (Q,u) when no confusion arises below.

Applying the same procedure as in §2, that is, multiplying the first equation in (3.11) by $-H + 2MQ$ (with M a sufficiently large positive constant), taking the trace, integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^d$, and adding this to the second equation in (3.11) multiplied by u and integrated by parts over $\mathbb{R}^d$, with the analogous cancellations as in §2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}&\Big(\frac{1}{2}|\nabla Q|^2+(\frac{a}{2}+M)|Q|^2-\frac{b}{3}\mathrm{tr}(Q^3)+\frac{c}{4}|Q|^4+\frac{1}{2}|u|^2\Big)\,dx\\&+\frac{\mu}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{c^2\Gamma}{2}\|Q\|_{L^6}^6+\varepsilon\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|_{L^3}^3+\varepsilon\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u\|_{L^4}^4\\&\le C(\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^4}^4),\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

where $C=C(a,b,c,\kappa,\mu,\lambda,\Gamma,M)$ is independent of ε. Then, by Gronwall's inequality (Lemma A.4), we have the following *a priori* bounds, independent of ε, such that, for any $T<\infty$,

$$\sup_\varepsilon\|Q_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2)\cap L^\infty(0,T;H^1\cap L^4)\cap L^6(0,T;L^6)}+\sup_\varepsilon\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)\cap L^2(0,T;H^1)}\le C.\tag{3.14}$$

Moreover, for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$\varepsilon\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|_{L^3(0,T;L^3)}^3+\varepsilon\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u\|_{L^4(0,T;L^4)}^4\le C\tag{3.15}$$

with constant C independent of ε. In addition, since $(Q_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon)$ satisfies system (3.11), along with (3.14)–(3.15), we can obtain bounds for $\partial_t(Q_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon)$ in $L^1(0,T;H^{-2})$. In order to do this, we need to estimate the H^{-2}–norm of each of the other terms in system (3.11), aside from $(\partial_tQ_\varepsilon,\partial_tu_\varepsilon)$. Since the estimates are similar to each other, we just show some tricky ones in the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda|Q|R_\varepsilon D\|_{H^{-2}}&=\sup_{\varphi\in S_0^d,\|\varphi\|_{H_0^2}\le1}(\lambda|Q|R_\varepsilon D,\varphi)\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|Q\|_{L^2}\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}\\&\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|Q\|_{L^2}\|\varphi\|_{H_0^2}\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|Q\|_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varepsilon\mathcal{P}\nabla\cdot R_\varepsilon(\nabla R_\varepsilon u|\nabla R_\varepsilon u|^2)\|_{H^{-2}}&=\sup_{\|\psi\|_{H_0^2}\le1,\mathrm{div}(\psi)=0}(\varepsilon\mathcal{P}\nabla\cdot R_\varepsilon(\nabla R_\varepsilon u|\nabla R_\varepsilon u|^2),\psi)\\=\sup_{\|\psi\|_{H_0^2}\le1,\mathrm{div}(\psi)=0}&(\varepsilon\nabla R_\varepsilon u|\nabla R_\varepsilon u|^2,R_\varepsilon\nabla\psi)\le C\varepsilon\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u\|_{L^4}^2\\\le C\varepsilon\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}&\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u\|_{L^4}^2,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda\nabla\cdot\mathcal{P}R_\varepsilon(|Q|H)\|_{H^{-2}}&=\sup_{\|\psi\|_{H_0^2}\le1,\mathrm{div}(\psi)=0}(\lambda\nabla\cdot\mathcal{P}R_\varepsilon(|Q|H),\psi)\\=\sup_{\|\psi\|_{H_0^2}\le1,\mathrm{div}(\psi)=0}&(\lambda|Q|(\Delta Q-aQ+b(Q^2-\frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d)-cQ\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)),R_\varepsilon\nabla\psi)\\\le C\|Q\|_{L^2}\big(&\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}+\|Q\|_{L^2}+\|Q\|_{L^4}^2+\|Q\|_{L^6}^3\big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\kappa\mathcal{P}\nabla\cdot R_\varepsilon Q\|_{H^{-2}}=\sup_{\|\psi\|_{H_0^2}\le1,\mathrm{div}(\psi)=0}(\kappa\mathcal{P}\nabla\cdot R_\varepsilon Q,\psi)\le C\|Q\|_{L^2}.$$

By the Sobolev interpolation inequality (Lemma A.3), one has

$$\|\nabla Q\|_{L^3}\le C\|D^2Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|Q\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{3.16}$$

From the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\varepsilon\mathcal{P}R_\varepsilon(\partial_\alpha Q_{\beta\gamma}(R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q_{\beta\gamma})|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q|)\|_{H^{-2}}\\
&=\sup_{\|\psi\|_{H^2_0}\le 1,\mathrm{div}(\psi)=0}(\varepsilon\mathcal{P}R_\varepsilon(\partial_\alpha Q_{\beta\gamma}(R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q_{\beta\gamma})|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q|),\psi)\\
&=\sup_{\|\psi\|_{H^2_0}\le 1,\mathrm{div}(\psi)=0}(\varepsilon\partial_\alpha Q_{\beta\gamma}(R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q_{\beta\gamma})|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q|,R_\varepsilon\psi)\\
&\le C\varepsilon\|\nabla Q\|_{L^3}\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|_{L^3}^2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\le C\varepsilon\|D^2Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|Q\|_{L^6}^{\frac12}\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|_{L^3}^2\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\le C\varepsilon\big(\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|_{L^3}^3+\|D^2Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^6}^6\big).
\end{aligned}$$

From all the above estimates, together with the uniform bounds (3.14)–(3.15), we can conclude that $(\partial_t u_\varepsilon,\partial_t Q_\varepsilon)\in L^1(0,T;H^{-2})$. Then, by the classical Aubin-Lions compactness lemma (Lemma A.2), we know that there exist $Q\in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1)\cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^2)$ and $u\in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2)\cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1)$ such that, subject to a subsequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_\varepsilon\rightharpoonup Q\quad\text{in } L^2(0,T;H^2),\quad Q_\varepsilon\to Q\quad\text{in } L^2(0,T;H^{2-\delta}_{\mathrm{loc}})\quad\text{for any }\delta\in(0,4),\\
&Q_\varepsilon(t)\rightharpoonup Q(t)\quad\text{in } H^1\quad\text{for any } t\in\mathbb{R}_+,\\
&Q_\varepsilon\rightharpoonup Q\quad\text{in } L^p(0,T;H^1),\quad Q_\varepsilon\to Q\quad\text{in } L^p(0,T;H^{1-\delta}_{\mathrm{loc}})\quad\text{for any }\delta\in(0,3),p\in[2,\infty),\\
&u_\varepsilon\rightharpoonup u\quad\text{in } L^2(0,T;H^1)\quad\text{and}\quad u_\varepsilon\to u\quad\text{in } L^2(0,T;H^{1-\delta}_{\mathrm{loc}})\quad\text{for any }\delta\in(0,3),\\
&u_\varepsilon(t)\rightharpoonup u(t)\quad\text{in } L^2\quad\text{for any } t\in\mathbb{R}_+.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

With the above result, we can pass to the limit in the weak solution $(Q_\varepsilon,u_\varepsilon)$ of system (3.11), as $\varepsilon\to 0$, to obtain a weak solution (Q,u) of system (1.2) satisfying (3.2)–(3.3). In the following, we focus on some terms that are not so easy to deal with.

First, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_\beta(Q_\varepsilon)_{\gamma\delta}R_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha)-\partial_\beta Q_{\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha\\
&=\partial_\beta(Q_\varepsilon)_{\gamma\delta}\big(R_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha)-\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha\big)+\big(\partial_\beta(Q_\varepsilon)_{\gamma\delta}-\partial_\beta Q_{\gamma\delta}\big)\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha\\
&=\mathcal{I}^1_\varepsilon+\mathcal{I}^2_\varepsilon
\end{aligned}\tag{3.18}$$

converges to zero strongly in $L^2(0,T;L^2)$. This is owing to the fact that $\mathcal{I}^1_\varepsilon$ converges to zero strongly in $L^2(0,T;L^2)$, since $R_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha)-\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha$ converges strongly to zero in any $L^p(0,T;L^q)$ (ψ is compactly supported and smooth) and Q_ε is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0,T;H^1)$, and the fact that $\mathcal{I}^2_\varepsilon$ converges to zero strongly in $L^2(0,T;L^2)$, since Q_ε converges to Q strongly in $L^2(0,T;H^{2-\delta}_{\mathrm{loc}})$ and ψ is compactly supported and smooth. Combining the above facts with the weak convergence of Q_ε in $L^2(0,T;H^2)$ in (3.17) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}R_\varepsilon\big(\partial_\alpha(Q_\varepsilon)_{\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta(Q_\varepsilon)_{\gamma\delta}\big)\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha dxdt&=\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_\alpha(Q_\varepsilon)_{\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta(Q_\varepsilon)_{\gamma\delta}R_\varepsilon\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha dxdt\\
&\to\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\partial_\alpha Q_{\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta Q_{\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta\psi_\alpha dxdt.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from the strong convergence of Q_ε in $L^p(0,T;H^{1-\delta}_{\mathrm{loc}})$ for $p\in[1,\infty)$ in (3.17) and the uniform bound of Q_ε in $L^6(0,T;L^6)$ in (3.14), we have

$$|Q_\varepsilon|^3Q_\varepsilon\to|Q|^3Q,\quad |Q_\varepsilon|Q_\varepsilon^2\to|Q|Q^2\qquad\text{in } L^1(0,T;L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}).$$

As a result, we have the following convergence:

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}R_\varepsilon(|Q_\varepsilon|H^\varepsilon):\nabla\psi\,dxdt=\lambda\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|Q_\varepsilon|H^\varepsilon:R_\varepsilon\nabla\psi\,dxdt\\&=\lambda\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|Q_\varepsilon|\Big(\Delta Q_\varepsilon-aQ_\varepsilon+b\big(Q_\varepsilon^2+\frac{\mathrm{tr}((Q_\varepsilon)^2)}{d}\mathrm{I}_d\big)-cQ_\varepsilon\mathrm{tr}((Q_\varepsilon)^2)\Big):R_\varepsilon\nabla\psi\,dxdt\\&\to\lambda\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|Q|H:\nabla\psi\,dxdt.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Finally, from the uniform bounds of $\varepsilon\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|^3_{L^3}$ in (3.15), along with (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon\int_0^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}R_\varepsilon\big(|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q|(R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q_{\beta\gamma})\partial_\alpha Q_{\beta\gamma}\big)\psi_\alpha\,dxdt\\&=\varepsilon\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\big(|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q|(R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q_{\beta\gamma})\partial_\alpha Q_{\beta\gamma}\big)R_\varepsilon\psi_\alpha\,dxdt\\&\le\varepsilon\int_0^T\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|^2_{L^3}\|\nabla Q\|_{L^3}\|R_\varepsilon\psi\|_{L^\infty}dt\\&\le C\varepsilon\int_0^T\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|^2_{L^3}\|D^2Q\|^{\frac12}_{L^2}\|Q\|^{\frac12}_{L^6}dt\\&\le C\varepsilon\|R_\varepsilon u\cdot\nabla Q\|^2_{L^3(0,T;L^3)}\|Q\|^{\frac12}_{L^6(0,T;L^6)}\|Q\|^{\frac12}_{L^2(0,T;H^2)}\\&\to0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

Similarly to the above estimate, by using the uniform bound of $\varepsilon\|\nabla R_\varepsilon u\|^4_{L^4}$ in (3.15), we also conclude that $\varepsilon\int R_\varepsilon\big(\nabla R_\varepsilon u|\nabla R_\varepsilon u|^2\big):\nabla\psi\,dxdt\to0$ as $\varepsilon\to0$.

Then the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

We remark that, in the proof above, because of the active terms in our system (1.2), in order to obtain the convergence of the approximate solutions, we need to establish a higher integrability of Q in time and use the Sobolev interpolation inequalities to achieve the uniform H^{-2}–estimates for the extra terms added to the approximate system, which is different from the passive case treated in [46].

4. Higher Regularity In Two Dimensions

In this section, we prove that, in the two-dimensional case, system (1.2) has solutions with higher regularity, provided with sufficiently regular initial data. The result is stated in Theorem 4.1. We mention that we use the Littlewood-Paley decomposition to help us improve the higher regularity of the solution. Of course, we can also obtain the higher regularity by differentiating the equations $k\ge1$ times in system (1.2). However, this requires the initial data $(\bar Q,\bar u)$ to be at least in $H^2\times H^1$, rather than in $H^{s+1}\times H^s$ for $s>0$ in the Littlewood-Paley method.

Remark 4.1. For any traceless, symmetric, 2×2 matrix Q,

$$Q^2 - \frac{\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)}{2}\mathrm{I}_2 = 0,$$

which means that H reduces to a simpler form

$$H = \Delta Q - aQ - c\,Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2) \qquad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let $s > 0$ and $(\bar{Q}, \bar{u}) \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$. There exists a global solution $(Q(t,x), u(t,x))$ to system* (1.2) *with the initial conditions:*

$$Q(0,x) = \bar{Q}(x), \qquad u(0,x) = \bar{u}(x) \tag{4.1}$$

such that

$$Q \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{s+2}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)),$$
$$u \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+; H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^2)),$$

and

$$\|\nabla Q(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^s} + \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^s} \le Ce^{e^{Ct}}, \tag{4.2}$$

where constant C depends on $\bar{Q}, \bar{u}, a, b, c,$ and Γ.

To prove this theorem, we restrict ourselves to system (1.2) in two spatial dimensions and give the *a priori* estimates for the smooth solutions of this system. Of course, the same estimates independent of ε can also be obtained, if we use the modified system (3.11), whose solutions are smooth as we mentioned in Remark 3.2. Moreover, we use the Littlewood-Paley decomposition to obtain the *a priori* estimates of the solution. Firstly, we apply Δ_q (see Appendix A for the notations), with $q \in \mathbb{N}$, to the equations in system (1.2) to obtain the estimates of high frequencies of the solution. Secondly, by applying S_0 to this system, we obtain the estimates of low frequencies. Finally, we achieve the high regularity of the solution by combining the high and low frequencies together and by using Gronwall's inequalities (Lemma A.4).

Proof. We begin with the estimates of high frequencies. Applying Δ_q to the first equation in (1.2), using Bony's paraproduct decomposition, multiplying the equation by $-\Delta\Delta_q Q$, taking the trace, and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\Delta_q Q\|^2_{L^2} + \Gamma\|\Delta\Delta_q Q\|^2_{L^2} + (\Delta_q\Omega S_{q-1}Q - S_{q-1}Q\Delta_q\Omega, \Delta\Delta_q Q)\\
&= (\Delta_q(u\nabla Q), \Delta\Delta_q Q) - \sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}([\Delta_q; S_{q'-1}Q_{\gamma\beta}]\Delta_{q'}\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}, \Delta\Delta_q Q_{\alpha\beta})\\
&\quad - \sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}((S_{q'-1}Q_{\gamma\beta} - S_{q-1}Q_{\gamma\beta})\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}, \Delta\Delta_q Q_{\alpha\beta})\\
&\quad - \sum_{q'>q-5}(\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}\Delta_{q'}Q_{\gamma\beta}), \Delta\Delta_q Q_{\alpha\beta})\\
&\quad + \sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}([\Delta_q; S_{q'-1}Q_{\alpha\gamma}]\Delta_{q'}\Omega_{\gamma\beta}, \Delta\Delta_q Q_{\alpha\beta})\\
&\quad + \sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}((S_{q'-1}Q_{\alpha\gamma} - S_{q-1}Q_{\alpha\gamma})\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}\Omega_{\gamma\beta}, \Delta\Delta_q Q_{\alpha\beta})
\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\sum_{q'>q-5}(\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}\Omega_{\gamma\beta}\Delta_{q'}Q_{\alpha\gamma}),\Delta\Delta_qQ_{\alpha\beta})\\
&-\lambda(\Delta_q(|Q|D),\Delta\Delta_qQ)+\Gamma a(\Delta_qQ,\Delta\Delta_qQ)+\Gamma c(\Delta_q(Q\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)),\Delta\Delta_qQ)\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{10}\mathcal{I}_i.
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can apply Δ_q to the second equation in (1.2), use Bony's paraproduct decomposition again, multiply the equation by $\Delta_q u$, and integrate by parts over $\mathbb{R}^2$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\Delta_qu\|_{L^2}^2+\mu\|\nabla\Delta_qu\|_{L^2}^2+(S_{q-1}Q\Delta_q\Delta Q-\Delta_q\Delta QS_{q-1}Q,\Delta_q\nabla u)\\
=&-(\Delta_q(u\cdot\nabla u),\Delta_qu)+(\Delta_q(\partial_\alpha Q_{\gamma\delta}\partial_\beta Q_{\gamma\delta}),\Delta_q\partial_\beta u_\alpha)\\
&-\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}([\Delta_q;S_{q'-1}Q_{\alpha\gamma}]\Delta_{q'}\Delta Q_{\gamma\beta},\Delta_q\partial_\beta u_\alpha)\\
&-\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}((S_{q'-1}Q_{\alpha\gamma}-S_{q-1}Q_{\alpha\gamma})\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}\Delta Q_{\gamma\beta},\Delta_q\partial_\beta u_\alpha)\\
&-\sum_{q'>q-5}(\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}\Delta Q_{\gamma\beta}\Delta_{q'}Q_{\alpha\gamma}),\Delta_q\partial_\beta u_\alpha)\\
&+\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}([\Delta_q;S_{q'-1}Q_{\gamma\beta}]\Delta_{q'}\Delta Q_{\alpha\gamma},\Delta_q\partial_\beta u_\alpha)\\
&+\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}((S_{q'-1}Q_{\gamma\beta}-S_{q-1}Q_{\gamma\beta})\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}\Delta Q_{\alpha\gamma},\Delta_q\partial_\beta u_\alpha)\\
&+\sum_{q'>q-5}(\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}\Delta Q_{\alpha\gamma}\Delta_{q'}Q_{\gamma\beta}),\Delta_q\partial_\beta u_\alpha)+\lambda(\Delta_q(|Q|\Delta Q),\nabla\Delta_qu)\\
&-a\lambda(\Delta_q(|Q|Q),\nabla\Delta_qu)-c\lambda(\Delta_q(|Q|Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)),\nabla\Delta_qu)-\kappa(\Delta_qQ,\nabla\Delta_qu)\\
=&\sum_{j=1}^{12}\mathcal{J}_j.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}
$$

Adding up (4.3)–(4.4) and using Lemma A.1, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|\nabla\Delta_qQ\|_{L^2}^2+\|\Delta_qu\|_{L^2}^2\big)+\mu\|\nabla\Delta_qu\|_{L^2}^2+\Gamma\|\Delta\Delta_qQ\|_{L^2}^2=\sum_{i=1}^{10}\mathcal{I}_i+\sum_{j=1}^{12}\mathcal{J}_j.\tag{4.5}
$$

Set

$$
\varphi(t):=\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2+\|u\|_{H^s}^2,\quad \varphi_1(t):=\|S_0\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|S_0u\|_{L^2}^2,\quad \varphi_2(t):=\varphi(t)-\varphi_1(t),
$$

with φ_1 and φ_2 representing the low-frequency part and high-frequency part of φ, respectively. Then (4.5) leads to the following estimate (see Appendix B for the details):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_2(t)+\sum_{q\in\mathbb{N}}2^{2qs}\big(\mu\|\Delta_q\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\Gamma\|\Delta_q\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\big)\\
\le\, &C\big(1+\|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^4}^4+\|Q\|_{L^6}^6\big)\varphi(t)\\
&\quad+\frac{\Gamma}{4}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}^2+\frac{\mu}{4}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}
$$

Next, we estimate the low frequencies. Applying S_0 to the first equation in (1.2), multiplying by $-S_0\Delta Q$, taking the trace, and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla S_0Q\|_{L^2}^2+\Gamma\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&=(S_0(u\cdot\nabla Q),S_0\Delta Q)+(S_0(Q\Omega-\Omega Q),S_0\Delta Q)\\
&\quad-\lambda(S_0(|Q|\Omega),S_0\Delta Q)+a\Gamma(S_0Q,S_0\Delta Q)+c\Gamma(S_0(Q\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)),S_0\Delta Q)\\
&\le C\|u\|_{L^4}\|\nabla Q\|_{L^4}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}+\|S_0(Q\Omega-\Omega Q)\|_{L^2}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+C\|S_0(|Q|\Omega)\|_{L^2}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}+C\|S_0\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2+C\|Q\|_{L^6}^3\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}+\|S_0(Q\Omega-\Omega Q)\|_{L^1}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+C\|S_0(|Q|\Omega)\|_{L^1}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}+C\|S_0\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2+C\|Q\|_{L^6}^3\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}+C\|Q\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+C\|S_0\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2+C\|Q\|_{L^6}^3\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}\\
&\le\frac{\Gamma}{4}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2+C\|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad+C\|Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+C\|Q\|_{L^6}^6.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}
$$

Then we apply S_0 to the second equation in (1.2), multiply by S_0u, and integrate by parts over $\mathbb{R}^2$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|S_0u\|_{L^2}^2+\mu\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&=-(S_0(u\cdot\nabla u),S_0u)+(S_0(\nabla Q\odot\nabla Q),S_0\nabla u)-(S_0\nabla\cdot(Q\Delta Q-\Delta QQ),S_0u)\\
&\quad-\lambda(S_0\nabla\cdot(|Q|(\Delta Q-aQ-cQ\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2))),S_0u)+\kappa(S_0\nabla\cdot Q,S_0u)\\
&\le\|S_0(u\cdot\nabla u)\|_{L^2}\|S_0u\|_{L^2}+\|S_0(\nabla Q\odot\nabla Q)\|_{L^2}\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+|\lambda|\|S_0(|Q|(\Delta Q-aQ-cQ\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)))\|_{L^2}\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+\|S_0(Q\Delta Q-\Delta QQ)\|_{L^2}\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}+|\kappa|\|S_0\nabla\cdot Q\|_{L^2}\|S_0u\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\|S_0(u\cdot\nabla u)\|_{L^1}\|S_0u\|_{L^2}+C\|S_0(\nabla Q\odot\nabla Q)\|_{L^1}\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+C\|S_0(|Q|(\Delta Q-aQ-cQ\,\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)))\|_{L^1}\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+C\|S_0(Q\Delta Q-\Delta QQ)\|_{L^1}\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}+C\|S_0\nabla\cdot Q\|_{L^2}\|S_0u\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\|u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|S_0u\|_{L^2}+C\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad+C\big(\|Q\|_{L^2}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}+\|Q\|_{L^2}^2+\|Q\|_{L^4}^4\big)\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}+C\|S_0\nabla Q\|_{L^2}\|S_0u\|_{L^2}\\
&\le\frac{\mu}{4}\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+C\|u\|_{H^s}^2+C\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2+C\|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+C\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^4
\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}
$$

$$+ C\big(\|Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q\|_{L^2}^4 + \|Q\|_{L^4}^8\big).$$

We add (4.7)–(4.8) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{\mathrm{d}t}\varphi_1(t) + \frac{3\mu}{4}\|S_0\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3\Gamma}{4}\|S_0\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le C\varphi(t) + C\|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C\|Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + C\big(\|Q\|_{L^2}^4 + \|Q\|_{L^4}^8 + \|Q\|_{L^6}^6 + \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^4\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Finally, we derive the estimates of the high norms. Adding (4.6) and (4.9), we have

$$\frac{d}{\mathrm{d}t}\varphi(t) + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}^2 \le A(t)\varphi(t) + B(t), \tag{4.10}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A(t) =& C\big(1 + \|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q\|_{L^4}^4 + \|Q\|_{L^6}^6\big),\\
B(t) =& C\|u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+ C\|Q\|_{L^2}^2\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + C\big(\|Q\|_{L^2}^4 + \|Q\|_{L^4}^8 + \|Q\|_{L^6}^6 + \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^4\big).
\end{aligned}$$

From the *a priori* estimates in Proposition 2.2, we know that both $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ belong to $L^1(0,T)$ and increase exponentially in time. Then, by Gronwall's inequality (Lemma A.4), we can conclude that $\varphi(t)$ increases double exponentially in time as stated in (4.2). □

5. WEAK-STRONG UNIQUENESS IN DIMENSION TWO

In this section, we prove that a global weak solution and a strong one must coincide, provided that they have the same initial data $(\bar{Q},\bar{u}) \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)\times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s>0$. The result is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let* $(\bar{Q},\bar{u}) \in H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^2)\times H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, *with* $s>0$, *be the initial data. By Theorem* 3.1, *there exists a weak solution* (Q_1,u_1) *of system* (1.2) *such that*

$$Q_1 \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1)\cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^2), \qquad u_1 \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;L^2)\cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^1). \tag{5.1}$$

Theorem 4.1 *gives the existence of a strong solution* (Q_2,u_2) *such that*

$$Q_2 \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{s+1})\cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{s+2}), \qquad u_2 \in L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^s)\cap L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}_+;H^{s+1}). \tag{5.2}$$

Then $(Q_1,u_1) = (Q_2,u_2)$.

Proof. Denote $\delta Q := Q_1 - Q_2$ and $\delta u := u_1 - u_2$. Then $(\delta Q,\delta u)$ satisfies the following system:

$$
\left\{
\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \delta Q + \delta u \cdot \nabla \delta Q - \delta\Omega\delta Q + \delta Q\delta\Omega + \delta u \cdot \nabla Q_2 + u_2 \cdot \nabla \delta Q + Q_2\delta\Omega + \delta Q\Omega_2 - \delta\Omega Q_2 - \Omega_2\delta Q\\
&\qquad\quad = \lambda|Q_1|\delta D + \lambda(|Q_1| - |Q_2|)D_2\\
&\qquad\qquad +\Gamma\big(\Delta\delta Q - a\delta Q - c(\delta Q\mathrm{tr}(Q_1^2) + Q_2\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\delta Q + \delta Q Q_2))\big),\\
&\partial_t \delta u + \mathcal{P}(\delta u \cdot \nabla \delta u)\\
&\qquad\quad = \mu\Delta\delta u - \mathcal{P}(\nabla\cdot(\nabla\delta Q \odot \nabla\delta Q))\\
&\qquad\qquad +\mathcal{P}(\nabla\cdot(\delta Q\Delta\delta Q - \Delta\delta Q\delta Q)) - \mathcal{P}(u_2\cdot\nabla\delta u + \delta u\cdot\nabla u_2)\\
&\qquad\qquad +\mathcal{P}(\nabla\cdot(\delta Q\Delta Q_2 + Q_2\Delta\delta Q - \Delta\delta Q Q_2 - \Delta Q_2\delta Q))\\
&\qquad\qquad -\mathcal{P}(\nabla\cdot(\nabla\delta Q\odot\nabla Q_2 + \nabla Q_2\odot\nabla\delta Q)) - \lambda\mathcal{P}(\nabla\cdot(|Q_1|(\Delta\delta Q - a\delta Q)))\\
&\qquad\qquad -\lambda\mathcal{P}(\nabla\cdot(|Q_1| - |Q_2|)(\Delta Q_2 - aQ_2)) + \lambda c\mathcal{P}\big(\nabla\cdot(|Q_1|\delta Q\mathrm{tr}(Q_1^2))\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad +\lambda c\mathcal{P}\big(\nabla\cdot(|Q_1|Q_2\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\delta Q + \delta Q Q_2))\big)\\
&\qquad\qquad +\lambda c\mathcal{P}\big(\nabla\cdot((|Q_1| - |Q_2|)Q_2\mathrm{tr}(Q_2^2))\big) + \kappa\mathcal{P}(\nabla\cdot\delta Q).
\end{aligned}
\right.
\tag{5.3}
$$

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 2.1, we multiply the first equation in (5.3) by $-\Delta\delta Q + \delta Q$, take the trace, and integrate by parts over $\mathbb{R}^2$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\big) - (\delta u\cdot\nabla\delta Q, \Delta\delta Q) - (\delta Q\delta\Omega - \delta\Omega\delta Q, \Delta\delta Q)\\
&\quad - (\delta u\cdot\nabla Q_2 + u_2\cdot\nabla\delta Q + \delta Q\Omega_2 - \Omega_2\delta Q, \Delta\delta Q) + (\delta u\cdot\nabla Q_2, \delta Q)\\
&\quad - (Q_2\delta\Omega - \delta\Omega Q_2, \Delta\delta Q) + (Q_2\delta\Omega - \delta\Omega Q_2, \delta Q)\\
&= -\Gamma\|\Delta\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 - \Gamma\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 - a\Gamma\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 - a\Gamma\|\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + \lambda(|Q_1|\delta D + (|Q_1| - |Q_2|)D_2, -\Delta\delta Q + \delta Q) + c\Gamma(\delta Q\mathrm{tr}(Q_1^2), \Delta\delta Q)\\
&\quad + c\Gamma(Q_2\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\delta Q + \delta Q Q_2), \Delta\delta Q) - c\Gamma(\delta Q|Q_1|^2, \delta Q)\\
&\quad - c\Gamma(Q_2\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\delta Q + \delta Q Q_2), \delta Q).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.4}
$$

Multiplying the second equation in (5.3) by δu and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^2$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \mu\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&= -(\nabla\cdot(\nabla\delta Q\odot\nabla\delta Q), \delta u) - (\delta Q\Delta\delta Q - \Delta\delta Q\delta Q, \nabla\delta u^\top)\\
&\quad - (u_2\cdot\nabla\delta u + \delta u\cdot\nabla u_2, \delta u) - (Q_2\Delta\delta Q - \Delta\delta Q Q_2, \nabla\delta u^\top)\\
&\quad - (\delta Q\Delta Q_2 - \Delta Q_2\delta Q, \nabla\delta u^\top) + (\nabla\delta Q\odot\nabla Q_2 + \nabla Q_2\odot\nabla\delta Q, \nabla\delta u^\top)\\
&\quad + \lambda(|Q_1|\Delta\delta Q, \nabla\delta u) - a\lambda(|Q_1|\delta Q_1, \nabla\delta u) + \lambda((|Q_1| - |Q_2|)(\Delta Q_2 - aQ_2), \nabla\delta u)\\
&\quad - c\lambda(|Q_1|\delta Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q_1^2), \nabla\delta u) - c\lambda\big(|Q_1|Q_2\,\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\delta Q + \delta Q Q_2), \nabla\delta u\big)\\
&\quad - c\lambda((|Q_1| - |Q_2|)Q_2\,\mathrm{tr}(Q_2^2), \nabla\delta u) - \kappa(\delta Q, \nabla\delta u).
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.5}
$$

Adding (5.4) and (5.5) together, and performing analogous cancellations to those in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\big(\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\delta u\|_{L^2}^2\big) + \mu\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + \Gamma\big(\|\Delta\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\big)\\
&= (\delta u\cdot\nabla Q_2 + u_2\cdot\nabla\delta Q, \Delta\delta Q) + (\delta Q\Omega_2 - \Omega_2\delta Q, \Delta\delta Q) - (\delta u\cdot\nabla Q_2, \delta Q)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad + \lambda(|Q_1|\delta D, \delta Q) - \lambda(|Q_1| - |Q_2|)D_2, \Delta\delta Q - \delta Q) + c\Gamma(\delta Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q_1^2), \Delta\delta Q)\\
&\quad + c\Gamma(Q_2\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\delta Q + \delta Q Q_2), \Delta\delta Q) - c\Gamma(\delta Q|Q_1|^2, \delta Q) - c\Gamma(Q_2\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\delta Q + \delta Q Q_2), \delta Q)\\
&\quad + (\delta u \cdot \nabla\delta u, u_2) - (\delta Q\Delta Q_2 - \Delta Q_2\delta Q, \nabla\delta u^\top) + (\nabla\delta Q \odot \nabla Q_2 + \nabla Q_2 \odot \nabla\delta Q, \nabla\delta u^\top)\\
&\quad - a\lambda(|Q_1|\delta Q, \nabla\delta u) + \lambda((|Q_1| - |Q_2|)(\Delta Q_2 - aQ_2), \nabla\delta u) - c\lambda(|Q_1|\delta Q\,\mathrm{tr}(Q_1^2), \nabla\delta u)\\
&\quad - c\lambda(|Q_1|Q_2\,\mathrm{tr}(Q_1\delta Q + \delta Q Q_2), \nabla\delta u) - c\lambda((|Q_1| - |Q_2|)Q_2\,\mathrm{tr}(Q_2^2), \nabla\delta u)\\
&\quad - \kappa(\delta Q, \nabla\delta u) - a\Gamma\big(\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\big)\\
&\le \|\Delta\delta Q\|_{L^2}\big(\|\delta u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla Q_2\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}\|u_2\|_{L^\infty} + 2\|\delta Q\|_{L^{\frac{2}{s}}}\|\Omega_2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}}\big)\\
&\quad + \|\delta Q\|_{L^2}\|\delta u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla Q_2\|_{L^\infty} + |\lambda|\|\delta Q\|_{L^2}\|Q_1\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad + |\lambda|\big(\|\Delta\delta Q\|_{L^2} + \|\delta Q\|_{L^2}\big)\|\delta Q\|_{L^{\frac{2}{s}}}\|D_2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} + c\Gamma\|\Delta\delta Q\|_{L^2}\|\delta Q\|_{L^4}\|Q_1\|_{L^8}^2\\
&\quad + c\Gamma\|\Delta\delta Q\|_{L^2}\|Q_2\|_{L^\infty}\big(\|Q_1\|_{L^4} + \|Q_2\|_{L^4}\big)\|\delta Q\|_{L^4} + c\Gamma\|\delta Q\|_{L^4}^2\|Q_1\|_{L^2}\|Q_1\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\quad + c\Gamma\|\delta Q\|_{L^4}^2\|Q_2\|_{L^2}\big(\|Q_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|Q_2\|_{L^\infty}\big) + \|u_2\|_{L^\infty}\|\delta u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}\\
&\quad + 2\|\delta Q\|_{L^{\frac{2}{s}}}\|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}}\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2} + 2\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla Q_2\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\quad + |a\lambda|\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}\|\delta Q\|_{L^2}\|Q_1\|_{L^\infty} + C\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}\big(\|\delta Q\|_{L^{\frac{2}{s}}}\|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} + \|\delta Q\|_{L^2}\|Q_2\|_{L^\infty}\big)\\
&\quad + c|\lambda|\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}\|\delta Q\|_{L^4}\big(\|Q_1\|_{L^\infty}(\|Q_1\|_{L^8}^2 + \|Q_2\|_{L^8}^2) + \|Q_2\|_{L^8}^2\|Q_2\|_{L^\infty}\big)\\
&\quad + |\kappa|\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}\|\delta Q\|_{L^2} - a\Gamma\big(\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\big)\\
&\le \frac{\Gamma}{2}\|\Delta\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\nabla\delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\big(\|\nabla Q_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|u_2\|_{L^\infty}^2\big)\|\delta u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C\big(1 + \|Q_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|Q_1\|_{L^\infty}^2\big)\|\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1 + \|u_2\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\nabla Q_2\|_{L^\infty}^2)\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\quad + C\Gamma\big(\|Q_1\|_{L^8}^4 + \|Q_2\|_{L^\infty}^2(\|Q_1\|_{L^4}^2 + \|Q_2\|_{L^4}^2) + \|Q_1\|_{L^8}^4\|Q_1\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|Q_2\|_{L^8}^4\|Q_2\|_{L^\infty}^2\\
&\qquad\qquad + \|Q_1\|_{L^\infty}^2(\|Q_1\|_{L^8}^4 + \|Q_2\|_{L^8}^4) + (\|Q_1\|_{L^\infty} + \|Q_2\|_{L^\infty})(\|Q_1\|_{L^2} + \|Q_2\|_{L^2})\big)\|\delta Q\|_{L^4}^2\\
&\quad + C\big(\|\nabla u_2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}}^2 + \|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}}^2\big)\|\delta Q\|_{L^{\frac{2}{s}}}^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we restrict ourselves to the two-dimensional case, $\|\delta Q\|_{L^4}^2$ and $\|\delta Q\|_{L^{\frac{2}{s}}}^2$ can be controlled by $\|\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2$. Moreover, we know from the imbedding theorem that

$$
\|\nabla u_2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} \le C\|u_2\|_{H^{s+1}}, \quad \|\Delta Q_2\|_{L^{\frac{2}{1-s}}} \le C\|Q_2\|_{H^{s+2}}.
$$

In addition, from the conditions of Q_1, u_1, Q_2, and u_2, *i.e.*, (5.1)–(5.2), we know that all the coefficients of $\|\delta u\|_{L^2}^2$, $\|\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2$, $\|\nabla\delta Q\|_{L^2}^2$, $\|\delta Q\|_{L^4}^2$, and $\|\delta Q\|_{L^{\frac{2}{s}}}^2$ are integrable with respect to time. Therefore, we can use Gronwall's inequality (Lemma A.4) to conclude the uniqueness of the solution. □

Appendix A. Some Basic Theories and Lemmas

In this section, we review some important theories and lemmas that are used extensively in this paper.

First, let us introduce the Littlewood-Paley theory; see [2] for the details.

Proposition A.1 (Dyadic Partition of Unity)**.** *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be annulus $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \le |\xi| \le \frac{8}{3}\}$. There exist radial functions χ and φ, valued in interval $[0,1]$, belonging to $\mathcal{D}(B(0,\frac{4}{3}))$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C})$, respectively, such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi(\xi) + \sum_{j\ge 1} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \qquad \textit{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d,\\
&\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \qquad \textit{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\},\\
&|j - j^{'}| \ge 2 \implies \operatorname{supp}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\varphi(2^{-j^{'}}\cdot)) = \emptyset,\\
&j \ge 1 \implies \operatorname{supp}(\chi) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)) = \emptyset.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition A.1. The homogeneous dyadic blocks Δ_j and the homogeneous low-frequency cut-off operators S_j are defined for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_j u &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\mathcal{F}u) = 2^{jd}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(2^j y) u(x-y)\,dy,\\
S_j u &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi(2^{-j}\xi)\mathcal{F}u) = 2^{jd}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \tilde{h}(2^j y) u(x-y)\,dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ denotes the Fourier transform on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and

$$h := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi, \quad \tilde{h} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi.$$

We define the Sobolev norm of space H^s as

$$\|u\|_{H^s} := \big(\|S_0 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{2qs}\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Next, we recall the Bony's paraproduct decomposition for two appropriately smooth functions u and v:

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u,v) = T_u v + T_v^{'} u,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_u v := \sum_j S_{j-1} u \Delta_j v, \quad R(u,v) := \sum_{|j-j^{'}|\le 1} \Delta_j u \Delta_{j^{'}} v,\\
&T_v^{'} u = T_v u + R(u,v) = \sum_j S_{j+2} v \Delta_j u.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_j(uv) &= \Delta_j T_u v + \Delta_j T_v^{'} u \\
&= \Delta_j \sum_{j'} S_{j'-1} u \Delta_{j'} v + \Delta_j \sum_{j'} S_{j'+2} v \Delta_{j'} u \\
&= \sum_{|j-j'|\leq 5} \Delta_j (S_{j'-1} u \Delta_{j'} v) + \sum_{j'>j-5} \Delta_j (S_{j'+2} v \Delta_{j'} u) \\
&= S_{j-1} u \Delta_j v + \sum_{|j-j'|\leq 5} [\Delta_j, S_{j'-1} u] \Delta_{j'} v \\
&\quad + \sum_{|j-j'|\leq 5} (S_{j'-1} u - S_{j-1} u) \Delta_j \Delta_{j'} v + \sum_{j'>j-5} \Delta_j (S_{j'+2} v \Delta_{j'} u).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain the uniqueness and higher regularity of the weak-strong solutions in §4–§5, we now recall the following useful inequalities that we use extensively. These inequalities follows from the Bernstein-type lemma and the commutator estimates in Chapter 2 of [2] by the construction of Δ_j.

Bernstein-type inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\nabla S_j u\|_{L^p} \leq C 2^j \|u\|_{L^p} \qquad \text{for any } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \\
&C 2^j \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p} \leq \|\nabla \Delta_j u\|_{L^p} \leq C 2^j \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p} \qquad \text{for any } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \\
&\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^q} \leq C 2^{d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p} \qquad \text{with } \ 1 \leq p \leq q, \\
&\|S_j u\|_{L^q} \leq C 2^{d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})j} \|S_j u\|_{L^p} \qquad \text{with } \ 1 \leq p \leq q.
\end{aligned}$$

Commutator estimates:

$$\|[\Delta_j, u] v\|_{L^r} \leq C 2^{-j} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \|v\|_{L^q} \qquad \text{with } \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r},$$

where C is independent of p, q, and r.

In order to deal with the highest derivatives of u in the first equation of system (1.2) (and the corresponding one in the approximation systems) and the highest derivatives of Q in the second equation of the system (and the corresponding one in the approximation systems), we need to introduce the following lemma:

Lemma A.1. *Let Q and $Q^{'}$ be two $d \times d$ symmetric matrices, and let $\Omega = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u - \nabla u^\top)$ be the vorticity with $(\nabla u)_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\beta u_\alpha$. Then*

$$(\Omega Q^{'} - Q^{'}\Omega, \Delta Q) - (\nabla \cdot (Q^{'}\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q^{'}), u) = 0.$$

Proof. For any two $d \times d$ matrices A and B, we know that $\mathrm{tr}(AB) = \mathrm{tr}(BA)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Omega Q^{'} - Q^{'}\Omega, \Delta Q) - (\nabla \cdot (Q^{'}\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q^{'}), u) \\
&= (\Omega Q^{'} - Q^{'}\Omega, \Delta Q) + (Q^{'}\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q^{'}, \nabla u^\top) \\
&= (\Omega Q^{'}, \Delta Q) - (Q^{'}\Omega, \Delta Q) + (Q^{'}\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q^{'}, \nabla u^\top) \\
&= (Q^{'}\Delta Q, \Omega) - (\Delta Q Q^{'}, \Omega) + (Q^{'}\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q^{'}, \nabla u^\top)
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (Q^{'}\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q^{'}, \Omega + \nabla u^{\top})\\
&= (Q^{'}\Delta Q - \Delta Q Q^{'}, D) = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in the last equality, we use the fact that Q, $Q^{'}$, and D are symmetric. ☐

Remark A.1. For any $d \times d$ matrix Q, we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}(Q^3) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4}|\operatorname{tr}(Q^2)|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\operatorname{tr}(Q^2) \qquad \text{for any } \varepsilon > 0. \tag{A.1}
$$

Proof. Let x, y, and z be the eigenvalues of Q. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{tr}(Q) = x + y + z, \quad \operatorname{tr}(Q^2) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2, \quad \operatorname{tr}(Q^3) = x^3 + y^3 + z^3.
$$

Since it is obvious that $\operatorname{tr}(Q^4) \le |\operatorname{tr}(Q^2)|^2$, we only need to show that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the following inequality is true:

$$
\operatorname{tr}(Q^3) \le \frac{3\varepsilon}{8}\operatorname{tr}(Q^4) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\operatorname{tr}(Q^2).
$$

By Young's inequality with ε, we have

$$
x^3 \le \frac{x^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}x^4, \quad y^3 \le \frac{y^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}y^4, \quad z^3 \le \frac{z^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}z^4.
$$

Then we obtain the desired inequality. ☐

Lemma A.2 (Aubin-Lions lemma)**.** *Let X_0, X, and X_1 be three Banach spaces with $X_0 \subseteq X \subseteq X_1$, let X_0 be compactly embedded in X, and let X be continuously embedded in X_1. For $1 \le p, q \le \infty$, let*

$$
W = \big\{u \in L^p(0,T;X_0) \,:\, \dot{u} \in L^q(0,T;X_1)\big\}.
$$

Then

(i) *If $p < \infty$, then the embedding of W into $L^p(0,T;X_1)$ is compact.*

(ii) *If $p = \infty$ and $q > 1$, then the embedding of W into $C(0,T;X)$ is compact.*

Lemma A.3 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality [43])**.** *Let $1 \le q, r \le \infty$. For $0 \le j < m$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$
\|D^j u\|_{L^p} \le C\|D^m u\|_{L^r}^{a}\|u\|_{L^q}^{1-a},
$$

where

$$
\frac{1}{p} = \frac{j}{n} + a(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m}{d}) + (1-a)\frac{1}{q},
$$

for all a in the interval

$$
\frac{j}{m} \le a \le 1,
$$

and $C = (d, m, j, q, r, a)$, with the following exceptional cases:

(i) *If $j = 0, rm < d$, and $q = \infty$, then we make the additional assumption that either u tends to zero at infinity or $u \in L^s$ for some finite $s > 0$.*

(ii) *If $1 < r < \infty$, and $m - j - \frac{d}{r}$ is a nonnegative integer, then it is necessary to assume additionally that $a \ne 1$.*

Lemma A.4 (Gronwall's inequality)**.** *Let $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta \geq 0$ be integrable functions on $[0,T]$. If a differentiable function Y satisfies the differential inequality:*

$$Y'(t) \leq \alpha(t)Y(t) + \beta(t) \qquad \text{for } t \in [0,T],$$

then

$$Y(t) \leq Y(0)\exp\Big(\int_0^t \alpha(s)ds\Big) + \int_0^t \beta(s)\exp\Big(\int_s^t \alpha(\tau)d\tau\Big)\,ds \qquad \text{for any } t \in [0,T].$$

Appendix B. The Estimates of Inequality (4.6)

Before proving inequality (4.6), let us first introduce the following Lemma.

Lemma B.1. *Let $u \in H^s \cap L^p$ with $p \geq 1$ and $s > 0$. Then, for any $k \geq 2$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|\Delta_q u^k\|_{L^p} \leq C2^{-qs}a_{q,k}(t)\|u\|^{k-1}_{L^{p(k-1)}}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}, \tag{B.1}$$

where $\{a_{q,k}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in l^2.

Proof. We prove this lemma by induction.

Firstly, for $k = 2$, by using the Bony's paraproduct decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_q(u^2) &= S_{q-1}u\Delta_q u + \sum_{|q-q'|\leq 5}[\Delta_q, S_{q'-1}u]\Delta_{q'}u \\ &\quad + \sum_{|q-q'|\leq 5}(S_{q'-1}u - S_{q-1}u)\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}u + \sum_{q'>q-5}\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}u\Delta_{q'}u) \\ &= \sum_{1\leq i\leq 4}\mathcal{I}_i.\end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate the right side term by term as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{I}_1\|_{L^p} &= \|S_{q-1}u\Delta_q u\|_{L^p} \leq C\|u\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q u\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|u\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C2^{-qs}\bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t)\|u\|_{L^p}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in l^2,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{I}_2\|_{L^p} &= \|\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 5}[\Delta_q, S_{q'-1}u]\Delta_{q'}u\|_{L^p} \leq C\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 5}2^{-q}\|\nabla S_{q'-1}u\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_{q'}u\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 5}2^{q'-q}\|u\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_{q'}\nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C2^{-qs}\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 5}2^{(q'-q)(1-s)}\bar{a}_{q'}^{(1)}(t)\|u\|_{L^p}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s} \\ &\leq C2^{-qs}\bar{a}_q^{(2)}(t)\|u\|_{L^p}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},\end{aligned}$$

where $\{\bar{a}_q^{(2)}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}} = \{\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 5}2^{(q'-q)(1-s)}\bar{a}_{q'}^{(1)}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in l^2,

$$\|\mathcal{I}_3\|_{L^p} = \|\sum_{|q-q'|\leq 5}(S_{q'-1}u - S_{q-1}u)\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}u\|_{L^p}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le C\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}\|S_{q'-1}u-S_{q-1}u\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}u\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\le C\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}\|u\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_{q'}\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}2^{(q-q')s}\bar{a}^{(1)}_{q'}(t)\|u\|_{L^p}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}\bar{a}^{(3)}_q(t)\|u\|_{L^p}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},
\end{aligned}$$

with $\{\bar{a}^{(3)}_q(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}=\{\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}2^{(q-q')s}\bar{a}^{(1)}_{q'}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}\in l^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{I}_4\|_{L^p}&=\|\sum_{q'>q-5}\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}u\Delta_{q'}u)\|_{L^p}\le C\sum_{q'>q-5}\|S_{q'+2}u\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_{q'}u\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\le C\sum_{q'>q-5}\|u\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_{q'}\nabla u\|_{L^2}\le C2^{-qs}\sum_{q'>q-5}2^{(q-q')s}\|u\|_{L^p}\bar{a}^{(1)}_{q'}(t)\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}\bar{a}^{(4)}_q(t)\|u\|_{L^p}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},
\end{aligned}$$

with $\{\bar{a}^{(4)}_q(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}=\{\sum_{q'>q-5}2^{(q-q')s}\bar{a}^{(1)}_{q'}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}\in l^2$.

Then taking $a_{q,2}(t)=\max_{1\le k\le 4}\{\bar{a}^{(k)}_q(t)\}$, we know that the result holds for $k=2$.

Next, we first assume the statement is valid for k and then check the validity for the case $k+1$. Similarly to the previous steps, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_q(u^{k+1})=\Delta_q(u^ku)&=S_{q-1}u^k\Delta_q u+\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}[\Delta_q,S_{q'-1}u^k]\Delta_{q'}u\\
&\quad+\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}(S_{q'-1}u^k-S_{q-1}u^k)\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}u+\sum_{q'>q-5}\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}u\Delta_{q'}u^k)\\
&=\sum_{1\le i\le 4}\mathcal{J}_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{J}_1\|_{L^p}&=\|S_{q-1}u^k\Delta_q u\|_{L^p}\le C\|u^k\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q u\|_{L^\infty}\le C\|u\|^k_{L^{pk}}\|\Delta_q\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}\bar{a}^{(1)}_q(t)\|u\|^k_{L^{pk}}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{J}_2\|_{L^p}&=\|\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}[\Delta_q,S_{q'-1}u^k]\Delta_{q'}u\|_{L^p}\le C\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}2^{-q}\|\nabla S_{q'-1}u^k\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_{q'}u\|_{L^\infty}\\
&\le C\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}2^{q'-q}\|u^k\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_{q'}\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}2^{(q'-q)(1-s)}\bar{a}^{(1)}_{q'}(t)\|u\|^k_{L^{pk}}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}\bar{a}^{(2)}_q(t)\|u\|^k_{L^{pk}}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{J}_3\|_{L^p} &= \|\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}(S_{q'-1}u^k - S_{q-1}u^k)\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}u\|_{L^p}\\ &\le C\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}\|S_{q'-1}u^k - S_{q-1}u^k\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q u\|_{L^\infty}\\ &\le C\sum_{|q-q'|\le 5}\|u^k\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C2^{-qs}\bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t)\|u\|_{L^{pk}}^k\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},\end{aligned}$$

and from the induction assumption, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\|\mathcal{J}_4\|_{L^p} = \|\sum_{q'>q-5}\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}u\Delta_{q'}u^k)\|_{L^p} &\le C\sum_{q'>q-5}\|u\|_{L^{kp}}\|\Delta_{q'}u^k\|_{L^{\frac{kp}{k-1}}}\\ &\le C\sum_{q'>q-5}\|u\|_{L^{kp}}2^{-q's}a_{q',k}(t)\|u\|_{L^{kp}}^{k-1}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}\\ &\le C2^{-qs}\sum_{q'>q-5}2^{(q-q')s}a_{q',k}(t)\|u\|_{L^{pk}}^k\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}\\ &\le C2^{-qs}\bar{a}_{q,k+1}(t)\|u\|_{L^{pk}}^k\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},\end{aligned}$$

with $\{\bar{a}_{q,k+1}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}} = \{\sum_{q'>q-5}2^{(q-q')s}a_{q',k}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}} \in l^2$.

Then taking $a_{q,k+1}(t) = \max\{\bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t), \bar{a}_q^{(2)}(t), \bar{a}_{q,k+1}(t)\}$, we know that the statement is true for the case $k+1$. By induction, we complete the proof. □

Then, in order to derive (4.6), we estimate the terms on the right-hand side of (4.5) one by one. For the detailed estimates of the following terms, we refer the readers to the appendix in [47]:

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mathcal{I}_1| \le C2^{-2qs}b_q(t)\big(\|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac32}\\ &\qquad + \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|u\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}\big),\\ &|\mathcal{I}_2| + |\mathcal{I}_3| + |\mathcal{I}_5| + |\mathcal{I}_6| \le C2^{-2qs}b_q(t)\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|u\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s},\\ &|\mathcal{I}_4| + |\mathcal{I}_7| \le C2^{-2qs}b_q(t)\|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac32},\\ &|\mathcal{J}_1| \le C2^{-2qs}b_q(t)\|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|u\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^{\frac32},\\ &|\mathcal{J}_2| \le C2^{-2qs}b_q(t)\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},\\ &|\mathcal{J}_3| + |\mathcal{J}_4| + |\mathcal{J}_6| + |\mathcal{J}_7| \le C2^{-2qs}b_q(t)\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|u\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s},\\ &|\mathcal{J}_5| + |\mathcal{J}_8| \le C2^{-2qs}b_q(t)\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac12}\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac12}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},\end{aligned}$$

where $\{b_q(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in l^1.

Next we will give the details of the estimates for the remaining terms:

$$\begin{aligned}&|\mathcal{I}_9| = |\Gamma a(\Delta_q Q, \Delta\Delta_q Q)| = \Gamma a\|\Delta_q\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2 \le C2^{-2qs}b_q^{(1)}(t)\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^2,\\ &|\mathcal{J}_{12}| = |\kappa(\Delta_q Q, \Delta_q\nabla u)| = |\kappa(\Delta_q\nabla Q, \Delta_q u)|\end{aligned}$$

$$\le C\|\Delta_q\nabla Q\|_{L^2}\|\Delta_q u\|_{L^2} \le C2^{-2qs}b_q^{(2)}(t)\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}\|u\|_{H^s},$$

for some $\{b_q^{(i)}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}} \in l^1$ with $i = 1, 2$. Applying Lemma B.1, we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{I}_{10}| &= |\Gamma c(\Delta_q(Q\mathrm{tr}(Q^2)), \Delta\Delta_q Q)| \le C\|\Delta_q(Q\mathrm{tr}(Q^2))\|_{L^2}\|\Delta\Delta_q Q\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}a_{q,3}(t)\|Q\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}2^{-qs}\bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t)\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}\\
&\le C2^{-2qs}b_q^{(3)}(t)\|Q\|_{L^4}^2\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}\|\Delta Q\|_{H^s},\\
|\mathcal{J}_{10}| &= |-a\lambda\big(\Delta_q(|Q|Q), \nabla\Delta_q u\big)|\\
&\le C\|\Delta_q(|Q|Q)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\Delta_q u\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}a_{q,2}(t)\|Q\|_{L^2}\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}2^{-qs}\bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t)\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}\\
&\le C(s)2^{-2qs}b_q^{(4)}(t)\|Q\|_{L^2}\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},\\
|\mathcal{J}_{11}| &= |-c\lambda(\Delta_q(|Q|Q\mathrm{tr}(Q)^2), \nabla\Delta_q u)|\\
&\le C\|\Delta_q(|Q|Q\mathrm{tr}(Q^2))\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\Delta_q u\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C2^{-qs}a_{q,4}(t)\|Q\|_{L^6}^3\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}2^{-qs}\bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t)\|\nabla u\|_{H^s}\\
&\le C2^{-2qs}b_q^{(5)}(t)\|Q\|_{L^6}^3\|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}\|\nabla u\|_{H^s},
\end{aligned}$$

for some $\{b_q^{(i)}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}} \in l^1$ with $i = 3, 4, 5$.

Finally, for the remaining two terms $\mathcal{I}_8$ and $\mathcal{J}_9$ it is not easy to obtain the bounds directly. The key idea is to combine them together and identify the cancellation factors between them for the estimates. Applying the Bony's decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_8 &= -\lambda(\Delta_q(|Q|D), \Delta\Delta_q Q)\\
&= -\lambda(S_{q-1}(|Q|)\Delta_q D, \Delta\Delta_q Q) - \lambda\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}([\Delta_q; S_{q'}(|Q|)]\Delta_{q'}D, \Delta\Delta_q Q)\\
&\quad - \lambda\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}((S_{q'-1}|Q| - S_{q-1}|Q|)\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}D, \Delta\Delta_q Q)\\
&\quad - \lambda\sum_{q'>q-5}(\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}D\Delta_{q'}|Q|), \Delta\Delta_q Q)\\
&= \lambda\sum_{i=1}^{4}\mathcal{I}_{8,i},\\
\mathcal{J}_9 &= \lambda(\Delta_q(|Q|\Delta Q), \nabla\Delta_q u) = \lambda(S_{q-1}|Q|\Delta_q\Delta Q, \nabla\Delta_q u) + \lambda([\Delta_q; S_{q'}|Q|]\Delta_{q'}\Delta Q, \nabla\Delta_q u)\\
&\quad + \lambda\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}((S_{q'-1}|Q| - S_{q-1}|Q|)\Delta_q\Delta_{q'}\Delta Q, \nabla\Delta_q u)\\
&\quad + \lambda\sum_{q'>q-5}(\Delta_q(S_{q'+2}\Delta Q\Delta_{q'}|Q|), \nabla\Delta_q u)\\
&= \lambda\sum_{i=1}^{4}\mathcal{I}_{9,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since Q is symmetric and $\Omega = \nabla u - D$ is skew-symmetric, we find that, for $1 \leq i \leq 3$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{8,i} + \mathcal{J}_{9,i} = 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{I}_8 + \mathcal{J}_9 = \mathcal{I}_{8,4} + \mathcal{J}_{9,4}.$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{I}_{8,4}| &= \Big|\sum_{q^{'}>q-5} (\Delta_q(S_{q^{'}+2} D \Delta_{q^{'}} |Q|), \Delta\Delta_q Q)\Big| \\
&\leq C \sum_{q^{'}>q-5} \|S_{q^{'}+2} \nabla u\|_{L^4} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} |Q|\|_{L^4} \|\Delta\Delta_q Q\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{q^{'}>q-5} 2^{q^{'}+2} \|u\|_{L^4} 2^{-q^{'}} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} \nabla Q\|_{L^4} \|\Delta\Delta_q Q\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{q^{'}>q-5} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} \nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} \Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_q \Delta Q\|_{H^s} \\
&\leq C 2^{-2qs} \sum_{q^{'}>q-5} 2^{(q-q^{'})s} \bar{a}_{q^{'}}^{(1)}(t) \bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t) \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq C 2^{-2qs} b_q^{(6)}(t) \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac{3}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{I}_{9,4}| &= \Big|\sum_{q^{'}>q-5} (\Delta_q(S_{q^{'}+2} \Delta Q \Delta_{q^{'}} |Q|), \nabla\Delta_q u)\Big| \\
&\leq C \sum_{q^{'}>q-5} \|S_{q^{'}+2} \Delta Q\|_{L^4} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} Q\|_{L^4} \|\Delta_q \nabla u\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{q^{'}>q-5} 2^{q^{'}} \|\nabla Q\|_{L^4} 2^{-q^{'}} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} \nabla Q\|_{L^4} \|\Delta_q \nabla u\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{q^{'}>q-5} \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} \nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} \Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_q \nabla u\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{q^{'}>q-5} \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-q^{'}s} \bar{a}_{q^{'}}^{(1)}(t) \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_{q^{'}} \Delta Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-qs} \bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t) \|\nabla u\|_{H^s} \\
&\leq C 2^{-2qs} b_q^{(6)}(t) \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta Q\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta Q\|_{H^s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^s},
\end{aligned}$$

with $\{b_q^{(6)}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}} = \{\sum_{q^{'}>q-5} 2^{(q-q^{'})s} \bar{a}_{q^{'}}^{(1)}(t) \bar{a}_q^{(1)}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}} \in l^1$.

Multiplying all the above estimates by 2^{2qs}, adding them together, taking the sum in q for $q \in \mathbb{N}$, noticing that $\{b_q(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}}, \{b_q^{(i)}(t)\}_{q\in\mathbb{N}} \in l^1$ with $1 \leq i \leq 6$, and using the Cauchy inequality with suitable ε, *i.e.*, $ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} b^2$, we obtain the desired estimate (4.6).

Acknowledgments

G.-Q. Chen's research was supported in part by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council Award EP/L015811/1 and the Royal Society–Wolfson Research Merit Award (UK). A. Majumdar's research is supported by an EPSRC Career Acceleration Fellowship EP/J001686/1 and EP/J001686/2, an OCIAM Visiting Fellowship and the Advanced Studies Centre at Keble College. D. Wang's research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grants DMS-1312800 and DMS-1613213. R. Zhang's research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-1312800.

References

[1] J. Aubin, Un théorème de compacité. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 256 (1963), 5042–5044 (French).

[2] H. Bahouri, J. Chemin, and R. Danchin, Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg, 2011.

[3] J. M. Ball and A. Majumdar, Nematic liquid crystals: from Maier-Saupe to a continuum theory. Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals, 525 (2010), 1–11.

[4] J. M. Ball, and A. Zarnescu, Orientability and energy minimization in liquid crystal models. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 202 (2011), 493–535.

[5] M. L. Blow, S. P. Thampi, and J. M. Yeomans, Biphasic, lyotropic, active nematics. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113 (2014), 248303.

[6] N. Chakrabarti and P. Das, Isotropic to nematic phase transition in F-actin. J. Surface Sci. Technol. 23 (2007), 177.

[7] F. De Anna and A. Zarnescu, Uniqueness of weak solutions of the full coupled Navier-Stokes and Q-tensor system in 2D. arXiv:1509.00597 [math.AP].

[8] H. Chaté, F. Ginelli, and R. Montagne, Simple model for active nematics: Quasi-long-range order and giant fluctuations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006), 180602.

[9] P. Constantin, The Littlewood-Paley spectrum in two-dimensional turbulence. Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn. 9 (1997), 183–189.

[10] P. Constantin, Euler equations, Navier-Stokes equations and turbulence. In: Mathematical Foundation of Turbulent Viscous Flows, pp. 1–43, Lecture Notes in Math. 1897, Berlin: Springer, 2006.

[11] N. C. Darnton, L. Turner, S. Rojevsky, and H. C. Berg, Dynamics of bacterial swarming. Biophys. J. 98 (2010), 2082.

[12] P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost, The Physics of Liquid Crystals. Second Edition, Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1995.

[13] M. Doi, and S. F. Edwards, The Theory of Polymer Dynamics, Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1986.

[14] W. E, and P. Zhang, A molecular kinetic theory of inhomogeneous liquid crystal flow and the small Deborah number limit. Meth. Appl. Anal. 13 (2006), 181–198 (English summary).

[15] J. L. Ericksen, Conservation laws for liquid crystals. Trans. Soc. Rheology, 5 (1961), 23–34.

[16] E. Feireisl, E. Rocca, G. Schimperna, and A. Zarnescu, Evolution of non-isothermal Landau-de Gennes nematic liquid crystals flows with singular potential. Commun. Math. Sci. 12 (2014), 317–343.

[17] S. M. Fielding, D. Marenduzzo, and M. E. Cates, Nonlinear dynamics and rheology of active fluids: Simulations in two dimensions. Phys. Rev. E, 83 (2011), 041910.

[18] F. C. Frank, On the theory of liquid crystals. Discussions Faraday Soc. 25 (1958), 19–28.

[19] U. Frisch, Turbulence. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1995.

[20] P. G. de Gennes and J. Prost, The Physics of Liquid Crystals. Clarendon (Oxford University Press): New York, 1995.

[21] F. Ginelli, F. Peruani, M. Bär, H. Chaté, Large-scale collective properties of self-propelled rods. Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010), 184502.

[22] L. Giomi, M. J. Bowick, X. Ma, and M. C. Marchetti, Defect annihilation and proliferation in active nematics. Phys. Review Lett. 110 (2013), 228101.

[23] L. Giomi, M. J. Bowick, P. Mishra, R. Sknepnek, and M. C. Marchetti, Defect dynamics in active nematics. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 372 (2014), 20130365.

[24] L. Giomi, M. C. Marchetti, and T. B. Liverpool, Complex spontaneous flows and concentration banding in active polar films. Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008), 198101.

[25] L. Giomi, T. B. Liverpool, and M. C. Marchetti, Sheared active fluids: thickening, thinning, and vanishing viscosity. Phys. Rev. E. 81 (2010), 051908.

[26] L. Giomi, L. Mahadevan, B. Chakraborty, and M. F. Hagan, Excitable patterns in active nematics. Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011), 218101.

[27] L. Giomi, L. Mahadevan, B. Chakraborty, and M. F. Hagan, Banding, excitability and chaos in active nematic suspensions. Nonlinearity, 25 (2012), 2245.

[28] E. Hopf, Über die anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen. Math. Nachr. 4 (1951), 213–231.

[29] J. Kierfeld, K. Frentzel, P. Kraikivski, and R. Lipowsky, Active dynamics of filaments in motility assays. Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics, 157 (2008), 123.

[30] N. Kuzuu and M. Doi, Constitutive equation for nematic liquid crystals under weak velocity gradient derived from a molecular kinetic equation. J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 52 (1983), 3486–3494.

[31] J. Leray, Essai sur le mouvement dun fluide visqueux emplissant l'espace. Acta Math. 63 (1934), 193–248.

[32] F. M. Leslie, Some constitutive equations for liquid crystals. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 28 (1968), 265–283.

[33] F. Lin and C. Liu, Static and dynamic theories of liquid crystals. J. Partial Diff. Eqs. 14(4) (2001), 289–330.

[34] F. Lin and C. Wang, Recent developments of analysis for hydrodynamic flow of nematic liquid crystals. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A 372 (2014), no. 2029.

[35] J.-L. Lions, Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites Non Linéaires. Dunod; Gauthier-Villars: Paris, 1969 (French).

[36] A. Majumdar, Equilibrium order parameters of nematic liquid crystals in the Landau-de Gennes theory. European J. Appl. Math. 21 (2010), 181–203.

[37] A. Majumdar and A. Zarnescu, Landau-De Gennes theory of nematic liquid crystals: the Oseen-Frank limit and beyond. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 196 (2010), 227–280.

[38] M. C. Marchetti, J. F. Joanny, S. Ramaswamy, T. B. Liverpool, J. Prost, Madan Rao, and R. Aditi Simha, Hydrodynamics of soft active matter, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85 (2013), 1143.

[39] D. Marenduzzo, E. Orlandini, M. E. Cates, and J. M. Yeomans, Steady-state hydrodynamic instabilities of active liquid crystals: Hybrid lattice Boltzmann simulations. Phys. Rev. E, 76 (2007), 031921.

[40] S. Mishra and S. Ramaswamy, Active nematics are intrinsically phase separated. Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006), 090602.

[41] S. Mishra, A. Baskaran, and M. C. Marchetti, Fluctuations and pattern formation in self-propelled particles. Phys. Rev. E, 81 (2010), 061916.

[42] V. Narayan, S. Ramaswamy, and N. Menon, Long-lived giant number fluctuations in a swarming granular nematic. Science, 317 (2007), 105.

[43] L. Nirenberg, On elliptic partial differential equations. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 13 (1955), 116–162.

[44] L. Onsager, The effects of shape on the interaction of colloidal particles, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 51 (1949), 627–659.

[45] C. W. Oseen, The theory of liquid crystals. Trans. Faraday Soc. 29 (1933), 883–899.

[46] M. Paicu and A. Zarnescu, Global existence and regularity for the full coupled Navier-Stokes and Q-tensor system. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 43 (2011), 2009–2049.

[47] M. Paicu and A. Zarnescu, Energy dissipation and regularity for a coupled Navier-Stokes and Q-tensor system. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 203 (2012), 45–67.

[48] W. F. Paxton, K. C. Kistler, C. C. Olmeda, A. Sen, S. K. St. Angelo, Y. Cao, T. E. Mallouk, P. E. Lammert, and V. H. Crespi, Catalytic nanomotors: Autonomous movement of striped nanorods. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 126 (41) (2014), 13424–13431.

[49] T. J. Pedley and J. O. Kessler, Hydrodynamic phenomena in suspensions of swimming microorganisms. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 24 (1992), 313.

[50] S. Ramaswamy, R.A. Simha, and J. Toner, Active nematics on a substrate: Giant number fluctuations and long-time tails. Europhys. Lett. 62 (2) (2003), 196D202.

[51] M. Ravnik and J. M. Yeomans, Confined active nematic flow in cylindrical capillaries. Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013), 026001.

[52] D. Saintillan and M. J. Shelley, Instabilities and pattern formation in active particle suspensions: Kinetic theory and continuum simulations. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008), 178103.

[53] T. Sanchez, D. T. N. Chen, S. J. DeCamp, M. Heymann, and Z. Dogic, Spontaneous motion in hierarchically assembled active matter. Nature, 491 (2012), 431.

[54] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p(O,T;B)$. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 146 (1986), 65–96.

[55] A. Sokolov and I. S. Aranson, Reduction of viscosity in suspension of swimming bacteria. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103 (2009), 148101.

[56] R. Temam, Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and Numerical Analysis. With an Appendix by F. Thomasset. Third edition, North-Holland Publishing Co.: Amsterdam, 1984.

[57] G. Teschl, Ordinary Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems. Amer. Math. Soc.: Providence, RI, 2012.

[58] E. Virga, Variational Theories for Liquid Crystals, Chapman & Hall: London, 1994.

[59] R. Voituriez, J. F. Joanny, and J. Prost, Spontaneous flow transition in active polar gels. Europhys. Lett. 70 (2005), 404.

[60] D. Wang, X. Xu, and C. Yu, Global weak solution for a coupled compressible Navier-Stokes and Q-tensor system. Commun. Math. Sci. 13 (2015), 49–82.

[61] W. Wang, P. W. Zhang, and Z. F. Zhang, The small Deborah number limit of the Doi-Onsager equation to the Ericksen-Leslie equation. arXiv:1208.6107.

[62] W. Wang, P. W. Zhang, and Z. F. Zhang, Rigorous derivation from Landau-de Gennes theory to Ericksen-Leslie theory. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 47 (2015), 127–158.

[63] H. H. Wensink, J. Dunkel, S. Heidenreich, K. Drescher, R. E. Goldstein, H. Lowen, and J. M. Yeomans, Meso-scale turbulence in living fluids, PNAS, 109 (2012), 14308.

[64] M. Wilkinson, Strict physicality of global weak solutions of a Navier-Stokes Q-tensor system with singular potential. arXiv: 1211.6083 (2012).

Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK.
E-mail address: `chengq@maths.ox.ac.uk`

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK.
E-mail address: `a.majumdar@bath.ac.uk`

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA.
E-mail address: `dwang@math.pitt.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA.
E-mail address: `roz14@pitt.edu`